arXiv:1205.3537v2 [math.OA] 31 May 2012

Normal Limits of Nilpotents in C*-Algebras

Paul Skoufranis*

March 4, 2013

Abstract

We will investigate the intersection of the normal operators with the closure of the nilpotent operators in various C*-algebras. A complete characterization will be given for type I and type III von Neumann algebras with separable predual and for unital, simple, purely infinite C*-algebras. Some restrictions that prevent normal operators from being limits of quasinilpotent operators will be given. The case of AF C*-algebras will be of particular interest. In addition, the closure of the span of the nilpotent operators and the distance from a projection to the nilpotent operators of various C*-algebras will be examined.

1 Introduction

In the C*-algebra of all $n \times n$ matrices with complex entries, the set of nilpotent operators (all operators T such that $T^k = 0$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$) coincides with the set of all quasinilpotent operators (all operators T such that the spectrum of T is $\{0\}$; note every nilpotent operator is automatically quasinilpotent) and is closed in the operator topology. However, in the case of the bounded linear maps on a complex, separable, infinite dimensional Hilbert space, the set of nilpotent operators and quasinilpotent operators differ and are not closed in the operator topology. In Problem 7 of [Hal], Halmos posed the question, “Is every quasinilpotent operator on a complex, separable, infinite dimensional Hilbert space the norm limit of nilpotent operators?” An affirmative answer to this question was first given in [AV], a subsequent proof was given in [AS], and a simpler proof was given in [AFP].

However, Halmos realized that his question was ‘wrong’ in the sense that there are non-quasinilpotent operators on a complex, separable, infinite dimensional Hilbert space in the closure of the nilpotent operators. This led to the question, “What is the closure of the nilpotent operators on a complex, separable, infinite dimensional Hilbert space?” A complete characterization of the closure of the nilpotent operators was first given in [AFV]:

---

*This research was supported in part by NSERC PGS.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46L05

Key words and phrases: C*-algebra, nilpotent operators, quasinilpotent operators, normal operator, norm-limit, purely infinite C*-algebra, von Neumann algebras

Theorem 1.1 ([AFV])**.** *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a complex, separable, infinite dimensional Hilbert space and let T be a bounded linear operator on $\mathcal{H}$. Then T is a norm limit of nilpotent operators if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

1. *The spectrum of T is connected and contains zero.*
2. *The essential spectrum of T is connected and contains zero.*
3. *The Fredholm index of $\lambda I - T$ is zero for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lambda I - T$ is semi-Fredholm.*

A significant amount of work on this problem was done by Herrero (see [He2], [He3], and [He4]). In particular, before [AFV], Herrero proved the following interesting result that is a specific case of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 7 of [He2])**.** *Let N be a normal operator on a complex, separable, infinite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *N is a norm limit of nilpotent operators on $\mathcal{H}$.*
2. *N is a norm limit of quasinilpotent operators on $\mathcal{H}$.*
3. *The spectrum of N is connected and contains zero.*

Another interesting proof of Theorem 1.2 was given in [Had]. For an excellent summary of the above work, see [He1] and [AFHV].

The above work raises an interesting question: "Given an arbitrary C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$, what is the closure of the nilpotent and quasinilpotent operators of $\mathfrak{A}$?" Although the GNS construction implies $\mathfrak{A}$ can be embedded faithfully into the bounded linear maps on a Hilbert space, Theorem 1.1 does not provided the answer to this question as the image of $\mathfrak{A}$ need not contain the necessary nilpotent or quasinilpotent operators. However, a solution to this question can be easily obtained in several particular cases. For example, this question is easily solved for abelian C*-algebras (which have no non-trivial quasinilpotent operators). In addition, this problem has already been solved for the Calkin algebra (see Theorem 5.34 of [He1]). Moreover, using the semicontinuity of the spectrum, the following result is easily obtain for arbitrary C*-algebras:

Lemma 1.3. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a C*-algebra and let $T \in \mathfrak{A}$ be a limit of quasinilpotent operators from $\mathfrak{A}$. Then the spectrum of T is connected and contains zero.*

Proof. If $\{M_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ are quasinilpotent operators such that $T = \lim_{n\to\infty} M_n$, then, as the spectrum of each M_n is $\{0\}$, zero must be an element of the spectrum of T since the set of invertible elements of $\mathfrak{A}$ is an open set. If the spectrum of T was not connected, it would have two non-empty relatively open/closed subsets. However $T = \lim_{n\to\infty} M_n$ implies that, for sufficiently large n, the spectrum of M_n must contain elements in two disjoint open neighbourhoods whose union contains the spectrum of T; an obvious contradiction. $\square$

Due to the elegance of the work of Herrero, perhaps a better question is, "Given an arbitrary C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ and a normal operator $N \in \mathfrak{A}$, can simple conditions be given to determine whether N is a norm limit of nilpotent or quasinilpotent operators?" For example, it is easy to see by Lemma 1.3 that the only normal, compact operator that is a limit of quasinilpotent operators is the zero operator.

In this paper we will investigate when a normal operator in a C*-algebra is a limit of nilpotent or quasinilpotent operators for various classes of C*-algebras. We will give a complete answer to this question for unital, simple, purely infinite C*-algebras (Section 2) and for type I and type III von Neumann algebras with separable predual (Section 3). Sections 4 and 5 will provide several restrictions and non-trivial examples where normal operators fail to be limits of quasinilpotent operators. In Section 6 we will investigate this question in the class of AF C*-algebras where some very surprising results are obtained that provide information about how a sequence of normal matrices in matrix algebras of increasing size can asymptotically tend to nilpotent matrices. In Section 7 we will provide a partial result to this question in type II factors. In Section 8 we will generalize a construction due to Read to give example an example of a separable, nuclear, quasidiagonal C*-algebra where every operator is in the closure of the nilpotent operators. We will investigate the closure of the span of nilpotent operators in Section 9 and the distance of an arbitrary projection to the nilpotent operators in Section 10. Finally we will finish with some interesting questions in Section 11.

Notation 1.4. The following will be the notation used throughout the paper.

- $\mathcal{H}$ - a complex, separable, infinite dimensional Hilbert space.
- $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ - the C*-algebra of all bounded linear maps on $\mathcal{H}$.
- $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathfrak{A})$ - the C*-algebra of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in a C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$.
- $\mathfrak{K}$ - the C*-algebra of compact operators on a complex, separable, infinite dimensional Hilbert space.
- $Nor(\mathfrak{A})$ - the set of normal operators of a C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$.
- $\mathfrak{A}_{sa}$ - the set of self-adjoint operators of a C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$.
- $\mathfrak{A}_+$ - the set of positive operators of a C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$.
- $Nil(\mathfrak{A})$ - the set of nilpotent operators of a C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$.
- $QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})$ - the set of quasinilpotent operators of a C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$.
- $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ - the unitization of a non-unital C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$.
- $alg(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ - the (not necessarily unital nor self-adjoint) algebra generated by $T_1, \ldots, T_n$.
- $\sigma(T)$ - the spectrum of an operator T.

- $\mathfrak{A}^{-1}$ - the set of invertible operators of a unital C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$.
- $\mathfrak{A}_0^{-1}$ - the connected component of the identity in $\mathfrak{A}^{-1}$.
- $dist(A, B)$ - the distance between two sets A and B in a normed linear space.
- $diag(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ - the $n \times n$ diagonal matrix with $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ along the diagonal.
- $\mathbb{D}$ - the open unit disk.

2 Purely Infinite C*-Algebras

In this section we will prove our main result, Theorem 2.8, which completely classifies when a normal operator in a unital, simple, purely infinite C*-algebra is a norm limit of nilpotent and quasinilpotent operators. The main tools of the proof are the existence and equivalence of projections in unital, simple, purely infinite C*-algebras and Lemma 2.1 which gives positive matrices of norm one that are asymptotically approximated by nilpotent matrices as we allow the size of the matrices to increase. In fact, in the case that $\mathfrak{A}_0^{-1} = \mathfrak{A}^{-1}$, the conditions of Theorem 2.8 are identical to the conditions of Theorem 1.2. This is not a surprise as the proof of Theorem 2.8 relies only on Lemma 2.1 and the structure of the projections in a unital, simple, purely infinite C*-algebra. In fact, the proof of Theorem 2.8 can be adapted to prove Theorem 1.2.

For completeness we include an outline of the following previously known result.

Lemma 2.1 (See A1.14 in [AFHV]). *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a positive matrix $A_n \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with norm one such that* $\lim_{n\to\infty} dist(A_n, Nil(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}))) = 0$.

Proof. Let $Q'_n := \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{j} q_n^j \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ where $q_n \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is the nilpotent Jordan block of order n. It was shown in [Ka] that $\|Re(Q'_n)\| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$. If $Q_n := \frac{i}{\ln(n)} Q'_n \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $H_n := Re(Q_n) \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$, then, by [Ka], $-I_n \leq H_n \leq I_n$, $Q_n \in Nil(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}))$,

$$| \|H_n\| - 1| \leq \frac{\ln(2)}{2\ln(n)}, \text{ and } \|H_n - Q_n\| \leq \frac{\pi}{2\ln(n)}.$$

By normalizing each H_n, we obtain self-adjoint matrices $B_n \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with norm one such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} dist(B_n, Nil(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}))) = 0.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $A_n := B_n^2$. Hence $A_n \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a positive matrix with norm one. Since the square of any nilpotent matrix is a nilpotent matrix, it is easy to obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} dist(A_n, Nil(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}))) = 0$$

as desired. ☐

Although the following two results are not required for the proof of Theorem 2.8, we include them here for completeness and for later discussions.

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $\mathfrak{A}$ *be a* C^**-algebra and let* $A \in \mathfrak{A}_+$*. Suppose* $\sigma(A) = \{0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_k\}$*. Then*

$$dist(A, QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})) \geq \frac{1}{2} \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} |\lambda_i - \lambda_{i-1}|.$$

Proof. Choose $x_0, y_0 \in \sigma(A) \cup \{0\}$ such that $|x_0 - y_0| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} |\lambda_i - \lambda_{i-1}|$. Then it is easy to find a Cauchy domain Ω such that $0 \notin \Omega$ and

$$\inf \left\{ \left\| (\lambda I - A)^{-1} \right\|^{-1} \mid \lambda \in \delta\Omega \right\} = \frac{1}{2}|x_0 - y_0|.$$

An application of the Analytic Functional Calculus for Banach Algebras implies that if $M \in \mathfrak{A}$ is such that

$$\|A - M\| \leq \inf \left\{ \left\| (\lambda I - A)^{-1} \right\|^{-1} \mid \lambda \in \delta\Omega \right\}$$

then $\sigma(M) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$ (see Theorem 1.1 of [He1]). As $0 \notin \Omega$ and the spectrum of any quasinilpotent operator is $\{0\}$, the result trivially follows. ☐

Corollary 2.3. *Let* $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ *be the positive matrices of norm one from Lemma 2.1. For every* $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *there exists an* $N_m \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that* $\sigma(A_n) \cap \left[\frac{k}{m}, \frac{k+1}{m}\right) \neq \emptyset$ *for all* $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$ *and for all* $n \geq N_m$*. That is, the spectrum of the* A_n*'s is asymptotically dense in* $[0, 1]$*.*

Proof. Since $\lim_{n \to \infty} dist(A_n, Nil(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}))) = 0$ by Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2 implies $\lim_{n \to \infty} dist(\sigma(A_n), 0) = 0$ and the distance between adjacent eigenvalues (when arranged in increasing order) of each A_n tends to zero as n tends to infinity. Hence the result easily follows. ☐

We will require the use of the following trivial result in the proof of Theorem 2.8.

Lemma 2.4. *Let* $\mathfrak{A}$ *be a* C^**-algebra, let* $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{A})$*, let* $(N_n)_{n \geq 1}$ *be a sequence of normal operators of* $\mathfrak{A}$ *such that* $N = \lim_{n \to \infty} N_n$*, and let* U *be an open subset of* $\mathbb{C}$ *such that* $U \cap \sigma(N) \neq \emptyset$*. Then there exists an* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that* $\sigma(N_n) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ *for all* $n \geq k$*.*

Proof. Fix $\lambda \in U \cap \sigma(N)$. By Urysohn's Lemma there exists a continuous function f on $\mathbb{C}$ such that $f|_{U^c} = 0$ yet $f(\lambda) = 1$. Note $f(N) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(N_n)$ by standard functional calculus results. If $\sigma(N_n) \cap U = \emptyset$ for infinitely many n, then $f(N_n) = 0$ for infinitely many n yet $f(N) \neq 0$ by construction. This is clearly a contradiction. ☐

Now we will prove Theorem 2.8 for positive operators. Although Proposition 2.5 is not required to prove Theorem 2.8, the proof of Proposition 2.5 contains all the conceptual difficulties and technical approximations thus easing in the comprehension of Theorem 2.8.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a unital, simple, purely infinite C*-algebra and let $A \in \mathfrak{A}_+$. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. $A \in \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})}$.
2. $A \in \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$.
3. *The spectrum of A is connected and contains zero.*

Proof. Clearly 1) implies 2) and 2) implies 3) is trivial by Lemma 1.3. We shall demonstrate that 3) implies 1).

Suppose the spectrum of A is connected and contains zero and let $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\mathfrak{A}$ is a unital, simple, purely infinite C*-algebra, $\mathfrak{A}$ has real rank zero (see Theorem V.7.4 of [Da]). Thus there exists scalars $0 = a_n < a_{n-1} < \ldots < a_1 = \|A\|$ and non-zero orthogonal projections $P_1^{(1)}, \ldots, P_n^{(1)} \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $\|A - A_1\| \leq \epsilon$ where

$$A_1 := \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k P_k^{(1)}.$$

Moreover, since the spectrum of A is connected, we may also assume that

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} |a_{k+1} - a_k| \leq \epsilon$$

by Lemma 2.4. The idea behind the remainder of the proof is to systematically remove the largest eigenvalue of A_1 by approximating with a nilpotent operator.

By Lemma 2.1 there exists an $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, a positive matrix $T_1 \in \mathcal{M}_\ell(\mathbb{C})$ with $\|T_1\| = a_1$, and a nilpotent matrix $M_1 \in \mathcal{M}_\ell(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\|T_1 - M_1\| \leq \epsilon$. In addition, by a small perturbation, we may assume that the geometric multiplicity of the eigenvalue a_1 of T_1 is one. For each $k \in \{2, \ldots, n\}$ let $\lambda_{1,k}, \lambda_{2,k}, \ldots, \lambda_{m_k^{(1)},k}$ be the spectrum of $\sigma(T_1)$ contained in $[a_k, a_{k-1})$ counting multiplicity (where zero intersection is possible). Since $\mathfrak{A}$ is a unital, simple, purely infinite C*-algebra, $P_k^{(1)}$ is a properly infinite projection so there exists orthogonal projections $R_{1,k}^{(1)}, R_{2,k}^{(1)}, \ldots, R_{m_k^{(1)},k}^{(1)}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{m_k} R_{j,k}^{(1)} \leq P_k^{(1)}$. However, since $\mathfrak{A}$ is a unital, simple, purely infinite C*-algebra, $P_1^{(1)}$ is equivalent to a proper subprojection $Q_{j,k}^{(1)}$ of every $R_{j,k}^{(1)}$.

For $k \in \{2, \ldots, n\}$ let

$$P_k^{(2)} := P_k^{(1)} - \sum_{j=1}^{m_k^{(1)}} Q_{j,k}^{(1)} > 0$$

(where the empty sum is the zero projection). Therefore, if

$$A_1' := a_1 P_1^{(1)} + \sum_{k=2}^{n} a_k \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_k^{(1)}} Q_{j,k}^{(1)} \right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad A_2 := \sum_{k=2}^{n} a_k P_k^{(2)}$$

then A_1' and A_2 are self-adjoint operators such that $A_1 = A_1' + A_2$. Notice if $P^{(2)} := \sum_{k=2}^{n} P_k^{(2)}$ then $P^{(2)}$ is a non-trivial projection such that $A_2 \in P^{(2)}\mathfrak{A}P^{(2)}$ and $A_1' \in \left(I_{\mathfrak{A}} - P^{(2)}\right)\mathfrak{A}\left(I_{\mathfrak{A}} - P^{(2)}\right)$. Thus the proof will be complete if we can demonstrate that A_1' is within 2ϵ of a nilpotent operator from $\left(I_{\mathfrak{A}} - P^{(2)}\right)\mathfrak{A}\left(I_{\mathfrak{A}} - P^{(2)}\right)$ and A_2 is within 2ϵ of a nilpotent operator from $P^{(2)}\mathfrak{A}P^{(2)}$.

Recall $P^{(2)}\mathfrak{A}P^{(2)}$ and $\left(I_{\mathfrak{A}} - P^{(2)}\right)\mathfrak{A}\left(I_{\mathfrak{A}} - P^{(2)}\right)$ are both unital, simple, purely infinite C*-algebras. Moreover, if

$$A_1'' := a_1 P_1^{(1)} + \sum_{k=2}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k^{(1)}} \lambda_{j,k} Q_{j,k}^{(1)} \in \left(I_{\mathfrak{A}} - P^{(2)}\right)\mathfrak{A}\left(I_{\mathfrak{A}} - P^{(2)}\right)$$

then $\|A_1'' - A_1'\| \leq \epsilon$ by the assumption that $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} |a_{k+1} - a_k| \leq \epsilon$. Since

$$\left\{P_1^{(1)}\right\} \cup \left\{ \left\{ Q_{j,k}^{(1)} \right\}_{j=1}^{m_k^{(1)}} \right\}_{k=2}^{n}$$

is a set of orthogonal, equivalent projections in $\left(I_{\mathfrak{A}} - P^{(2)}\right)\mathfrak{A}\left(I_{\mathfrak{A}} - P^{(2)}\right)$, we can use the partial isometries implementing the equivalence to construct a matrix algebra with these projections as the orthogonal minimal projections. Moreover, by construction, inside this matrix algebra A_1'' has the same spectrum as T_1 (including multiplicity) so A_1'' can be approximated with the analog of M_1 inside $\left(I_{\mathfrak{A}} - P^{(2)}\right)\mathfrak{A}\left(I_{\mathfrak{A}} - P^{(2)}\right)$. Hence A_1' is within 2ϵ of a nilpotent operator from $\left(I_{\mathfrak{A}} - P^{(2)}\right)\mathfrak{A}\left(I_{\mathfrak{A}} - P^{(2)}\right)$.

To approximate A_2 with a nilpotent operator from $P^{(2)}\mathfrak{A}P^{(2)}$, we repeat the same argument with a positive matrix T_2 of norm a_2. Due to the nature of the above approximations, the above process gives a non-trivial projection $P^{(3)} < P^{(2)}$ and a positive operator A_3 of $P^{(3)}\mathfrak{A}P^{(3)}$ with spectrum $\{a_3, a_4, \ldots, a_n\}$ such that $A_2 - A_3 \in \left(P^{(2)} - P^{(3)}\right)\mathfrak{A}\left(P^{(2)} - P^{(3)}\right)$ can be approximated within 2ϵ of a nilpotent operator from $\left(P^{(2)} - P^{(3)}\right)\mathfrak{A}\left(P^{(2)} - P^{(3)}\right)$. By repeating this process ad nauseum, we can write A_1 as a finite direct sum of positive matrices each within 2ϵ of a nilpotent matrix from the respective matrix algebra. Hence A_1 is within 2ϵ of a nilpotent operator from $\mathfrak{A}$ and thus A is within 3ϵ of a nilpotent operator from $\mathfrak{A}$. □

In order to adapt the proof of Proposition 2.5 to normal operators, it is necessary to be able to approximate said operators with normal operators with finite spectra. This difficult work has already been completed by Lin.

Theorem 2.6 (Theorem 4.4 of [Li2])**.** *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a unital, simple, purely infinite C^*-algebra and let $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{A})$. Then N can be approximated by normal operators with finite spectra if and only if $\lambda I - N \in \mathfrak{A}_0^{-1}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(N)$.*

It turns out that the condition '$\lambda I - N \in \mathfrak{A}_0^{-1}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(N)$' is a necessary condition for a normal operator to be a limit of nilpotent operators.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a unital C^*-algebra and let $T \in \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$. Then $\lambda I - T \in \mathfrak{A}_0^{-1}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(T)$.*

Proof. If $M \in QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})$ then $\lambda I - tM$ is invertible for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and for all $t \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore $\lambda I - M \in \mathfrak{A}_0^{-1}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

If $T \in \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$ then $0 \in \sigma(T)$ by Lemma 1.3. As $\mathfrak{A}_0^{-1}$ is closed in the relative topology on $\mathfrak{A}^{-1}$, $\lambda I - T \in \mathfrak{A}_0^{-1}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(T)$. ☐

With Lemma 2.7 giving another necessary condition for a normal operator to be a limit of nilpotent operators, we can now address our main theorem.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a unital, simple, purely infinite C^*-algebra and let $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{A})$. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. $N \in \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})}$.
2. $N \in \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$.
3. $0 \in \sigma(N)$, *$\sigma(N)$ is connected, and $\lambda I - N \in \mathfrak{A}_0^{-1}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(N)$.*

Proof. Clearly 1) implies 2) and 2) implies 3) is trivial by Lemma 1.3 and Lemma 2.7. We shall demonstrate that 3) implies 1). As the approximations contained in the proof are identical to those used in Proposition 2.5, we will only outline the main technique and omit the approximations.

Suppose $0 \in \sigma(N)$, $\sigma(N)$ is connected, and $\lambda I - N \in \mathfrak{A}_0^{-1}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(N)$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and for each $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ let

$$B_{n,m} := \left(\epsilon n - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \epsilon n + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right] + i\left(\epsilon m - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \epsilon m + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right] \subseteq \mathbb{C}.$$

By Theorem 2.6 there exists a normal operator N_ϵ with finite spectrum such that $\|N - N_\epsilon\| \leq \epsilon$. For each $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ we label the box $B_{n,m}$ relevant if $\sigma(N_\epsilon) \cap B_{n,m} \neq \emptyset$ and we label the box $B_{n,m}$ irrelevant if $\sigma(N_\epsilon) \cap B_{n,m} = \emptyset$. Since $\sigma(N)$ is connected, we may assume (via Lemma 2.4) that the union of all relevant $B_{n,m}$ is a connected set and $B_{0,0}$ is relevant. By a perturbation of at most ϵ, we can assume that $\sigma(N_\epsilon)$ is precisely the centres of all relevant boxes and $\|N - N_\epsilon\| \leq 2\epsilon$.

As in the proof of Proposition 2.5, we will progressively remove the relevant boxes from the spectrum of N_ϵ by approximating by a nilpotent operator on a direct summand of $\mathfrak{A}$. However care is needed in how we remove the boxes. If the only relevant box is $B_{0,0}$ we can stop. Otherwise we label a relevant box bad if its removal disconnects the union of the relevant boxes or it is $B_{0,0}$ and we label a relevant box good if it is not bad. Elementary graph theory implies that at least one box is good.

Let B_{n_0,m_0} be a good, relevant box. Since the union of the relevant boxes is connected, there exists a continuous path $\gamma : [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}$ that connects 0 to $\epsilon n_0 + i\epsilon m_0$ whose image lies in the union of the relevant boxes. By Lemma 2.1 and since γ can be approximated uniformly by a polynomial that vanishes at zero, there exists an $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, a normal operator $N_\ell \in \mathcal{M}_\ell(\mathbb{C})$, and a nilpotent $M_\ell \in \mathcal{M}_\ell(\mathbb{C})$ such that the spectrum of N_ℓ is contained within an ϵ-neighbourhood of the union of relevant boxes and $\|N_\ell - M_\ell\| \leq \epsilon$. By perturbing the eigenvalues of N_ℓ by at most 4ϵ, we can assume that the spectrum of N_ℓ is precisely a subset of the centres of relevant boxes, the multiplicity of $\epsilon n_0 + i\epsilon m_0$ is precisely one, and $\|M_\ell - N_\ell\| \leq 5\epsilon$.

For each $(n,m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ let $P_{n,m}$ be the spectral projection of N_ϵ for the box $B_{n,m}$. Using P_{n_0,m_0} as a main projection, for each other $(n,m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that $\epsilon n + i\epsilon m$ is in the spectrum of N_ℓ we can find the algebraic multiplicity of the eigenvalue $\epsilon n + i\epsilon m$ of N_ℓ many orthogonal subprojections of $P_{n,m}$ whose sum is strictly less that $P_{n,m}$ and each of which is equivalent to P_{n_0,m_0}. Thus, as in the proof of Proposition 2.5, we can find a projection $P_1 \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that P_1 commutes with N_ϵ, $P_1 N_\epsilon P_1$ can be approximated by a nilpotent in $P_1 \mathfrak{A} P_1$ within 6ϵ, and $(I - P_1)N_\epsilon(I - P_1)$ has the same spectrum as N_ϵ minus $\epsilon n_0 + i\epsilon m_0$.

By our selection of (n_0, m_0), the union of the relevant $B_{n,m}$ for $(I-P_1)N_\epsilon(I-P_1)$ is connected and contains $B_{0,0}$. Thus, by repeating the above process ad nauseum, we obtain a nilpotent operator $M \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $\|N - M\| \leq 8\epsilon$. Hence the result follows. □

To conclude this section we note that the following can easily be proved using the techniques illustrated above.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a unital, simple C*-algebra and let $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{A})$ be such that $\sigma(N)$ is connected and contains zero. If $N = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} a_{k,n} P_{k,n}$ where $a_{k,n} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $P_{k,n}$ are infinite projections with $\sum_{k=1}^{m_n} P_{k,n} = I_{\mathfrak{A}}$ then $N \in \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})}$.*

Proof. The conditions that $\mathfrak{A}$ is simple and the projections are infinite imply that the projections are properly infinite (see Theorem V.5.1 of [Da]) and every projection is equivalent to a subprojection of any infinite projection (see Lemma V.5.4 of [Da]). Thus the process used above works (where we note the small technical detail that, when removing one projection from the sum, we can still take the differences containing the other projections to be infinite by showing that they containing a subprojection equivalent to the original $P_{k,n}$ by Theorem V.5.1 of [Da]). □

3 Type I and III von Neumann Algebras

Although thus far we have been able to complete classify when a normal operator in a C*-algebra is a norm limit of nilpotent or quasinilpotent operators based on the spectrum of the operator, this is not in general true for type I_∞ von Neumann algebras. Indeed consider $L_\infty[0,1]\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \simeq L_\infty([0,1],\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ and the operator $f(t) = tI$. Then f is a self-adjoint operator with $\sigma(f) = [0,1]$. If f were the limit of nilpotent operators then $f(t)$ would be the limit of nilpotent operators for almost every $t \in [0,1]$. However tI is a limit of nilpotent operators if and only if $t = 0$ by Theorem 1.2. This leads to the question, "Is there a way to determine when a normal operator in a von Neumann algebra is a limit of nilpotent or quasinilpotent operators?"

In this section we will answer the above question for type I and III von Neumann algebras with separable predual. This classification will make use of the representation of von Neumann algebras as the direct integral of factors. The classification for factors is simple and the complete classification for type I_∞ (Theorem 3.4) and type III (Theorem 3.7) von Neumann algebras is as expected: a normal operator is a limit of nilpotent or quasinilpotent operators if and only if is pointwise the limit of nilpotent or quasinilpotent operators. This can be viewed as an extension of Theorem 1.2 and was motivated by [Had].

First we will begin with type I von Neumann algebras. In the case of a finite type I von Neumann algebra, the classifications trivially follow from the known results on matrix algebras.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a finite type I von Neumann algebra with separable predual. Then $Nor(\mathfrak{M}) \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M})} = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{M}$ is a finite type I von Neumann algebra, $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \prod_{n\geq 1} \mathcal{M}_n(C(X_n))$ where each X_n is a compact Hausdorff space. Therefore, since an element of $\prod_{n\geq 1} \mathcal{M}_n(C(X_n))$ is quasinilpotent only if each direct summand is quasinilpotent, the proof will be complete by showing

$$Nor(\mathcal{M}_n(C(X_n))) \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathcal{M}_n(C(X_n)))} = \{0\}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Notice if $M \in QuasiNil(\mathcal{M}_n(C(X_n)))$ then $\lim_{k\to\infty} \left\|M^k\right\|^{\frac{1}{k}} = 0$ by the spectral radius formula. Hence $\lim_{k\to\infty} \left\|M^k(x)\right\|^{\frac{1}{k}} = 0$ for all $x \in X_n$ so $M(x) \in QuasiNil(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ for all $x \in X_n$. Therefore, if

$$N \in Nor(\mathcal{M}_n(C(X_n))) \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathcal{M}_n(C(X_n)))},$$

then

$$N(x) \in Nor(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})) \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}))} = \{0\}$$

for all $x \in X$ so $N = 0$. $\square$

In Section 4 we will generalize the above result (see Proposition 4.3).

To deal with type I_∞ von Neumann algebras with separable predual, we recall that every such algebra has the form $L_\infty(X,\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ for some Radon measure space (X,μ). For a normal operator $N \in L_\infty(X,\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ to be a limit of nilpotent operators, it is clear that N must be the pointwise limit of nilpotent operators almost everywhere. The difficultly in the converse lies in the fact that the integral of nilpotent operators need not be nilpotent if the degrees of nilpotency are unbounded. This issue will be resolved by Lemma 3.3 which was motivated by [Had]. We will begin with the following useful observation that is implied by [Had].

Lemma 3.2. *Let $D \in Nor(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ be such that $\sigma(D) = \{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k\}$ where $\lambda_0 = 0$ and $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ if $i \neq j$. If the essential spectrum of D agrees with the spectrum of D then*

$$dist(D, Nil(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))) \leq \frac{1}{2} \min_{T \in \mathcal{T}} \max_{e \in \mathcal{E}(T)} length(e)$$

where $\mathcal{T}$ is the set of all trees with vertices $\{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k\}$ and straight lines for edges, $\mathcal{E}(T)$ is the set of edges of a tree $T \in \mathcal{T}$, and $length(e)$ is the Euclidean length of a straight line e connecting λ_i to λ_j.

Proof. Let

$$\delta := \min_{T \in \mathcal{T}} \max_{e \in \mathcal{E}(T)} length(e) > 0$$

and fix a $T_0 \in \mathcal{T}$ that obtains this minimum. Note that there exists an $N \in Nor(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ with spectrum equal to T_0. Since T_0 is connected and contains zero, $N \in \overline{Nil(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))}$ by Theorem 1.2. By the Spectral Theorem for Normal Operators there exists a unitary $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\|D - UNU^*\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\delta$. Hence, as $N \in \overline{Nil(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))}$, the result follows. ☐

Note Lemma 2.2 implies the inequality in Lemma 3.2 is an equality when D is positive. Moreover Lemma 3.2 will be of particular use in proof of Theorem 3.7.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\{T_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subseteq Nor(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ be a bounded set such that $\sigma(T_n)$ is connected and contains zero for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\{M_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subseteq Nil(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ and a $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|T_n - M_n\| \leq \epsilon$ and $M_n^q = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. That is, the operators T_n can be uniformly approximated with elements of $Nil(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ with bounded nilpotency degrees.*

Proof. Without loss of generality $\|T_n\| \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2^m}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\|T_n\| \leq 1$, $\sigma(T_n) \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define

$$C_{k,\ell} := \left[\frac{2k-1}{2^{m+1}}, \frac{2k+1}{2^{m+1}}\right] + i\left[\frac{2\ell-1}{2^{m+1}}, \frac{2\ell+1}{2^{m+1}}\right] \subseteq \mathbb{C}$$

for all $k, \ell \in \{-2^m, -2^m+1, \ldots, 2^m\}$ so that $\bigcup_{k,\ell=-2^m}^{2^m} C_{k,\ell}$ contains the closed unit square.

For each subset $Y \subseteq \{-2^m, -2^m+1, \ldots, 2^m\} \times \{-2^m, -2^m+1, \ldots, 2^m\}$ define

$$X_Y := \{T_n \mid \chi_{C_{k,\ell}}(T_n) \neq 0 \text{ if and only if } (k,\ell) \in Y\}$$

where $\chi_Z(T_n)$ is the spectral projection of T_n onto the subset Z. Note that $\bigcup_Y X_Y = \{T_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ and $X_Y = \emptyset$ if $(0,0) \notin Y$ or $\bigcup_{(k,\ell)\in Y} C_{k,\ell}$ is disconnected as the spectrum of each T_n is connected and contains zero.

Since the number of possible Y's is finite, it suffices to show that for each Y there exists a $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $T_n \in X_Y$ there exists an $M_n \in Nil(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ such that $\|T_n - M_n\| \leq 3\epsilon$ and $M_n^q = 0$. Fix Y such that $\bigcup_{(k,\ell)\in Y} C_{k,\ell}$ is connected. For each $(k,\ell) \in Y$ let $z_{k,\ell} \in C_{k,\ell}$ to be the centre of $C_{k,\ell}$ (so $z_{0,0} = 0$). Let D_Y be a diagonal operator whose spectrum and essential spectrum is $\{z_{k,\ell} \mid (k,\ell) \in Y\}$. By the Spectral Theorem, for each $T_n \in X_Y$ there exists a unitary $U_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\|T_n - U_n D_Y U_n^*\| \leq 2\epsilon$. Since D_Y is within ϵ of an element of $Nil(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ by Lemma 3.2, the result follows. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let (X,μ) a Radon measure space. Then $\mathfrak{M} := L_\infty(X, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ is a type I_∞ von Neumann algebra and every type I_∞ von Neumann algebra with separable predual has this form. Moreover if $f \in Nor(\mathfrak{M})$ then the following are equivalent:*

1. $f \in \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M})}$.
2. $f \in \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M})}$.
3. *$\sigma(f(x))$ is connected and contains zero μ-almost everywhere.*

Proof. Clearly 1) implies 2). To see that 2) implies 3), suppose that $f \in \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M})}$. If $M \in QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M})$ then $M(x) \in QuasiNil(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ for almost every $x \in X$ by the spectral radius formula. Therefore f is almost everywhere the pointwise limit of elements of $QuasiNil(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ and thus $\sigma(f(x))$ is connected and contains zero for almost every $x \in X$ by Lemma 1.3.

To see that 3) implies 1), suppose $\sigma(f(x))$ is connected and contains zero for almost every $x \in X$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. We can choose a representation of f such that $f(x)$ is normal for every $x \in X$, $\sup_{x\in X} \|f(x)\| < \infty$, and $\sigma(f(x))$ is connected and contains zero for every $x \in X$. Since f is measureable, the range of f is separable and $x \mapsto \|f(x)\|$ is a measureable function. Thus there exists $\{T_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subseteq f(X)$ and disjoint measureable subsets $\{E_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subseteq X$ such that if

$$h := \sum_{n\geq 1} T_n \chi_{E_n} \in \mathfrak{M}$$

then $\|h - f\| \leq \epsilon$. Since $T_n \in f(X)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{T_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is a bounded set of normal operators such that $\sigma(T_n)$ is connected and contains zero for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 3.3 there exists $\{M_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subseteq Nil(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ and a $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|T_n - M_n\| \leq \epsilon$ and $M_n^q = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$g := \sum_{n\geq 1} M_n \chi_{E_n}.$$

Then $g \in \mathfrak{M}$, $\|f - g\| \leq 2\epsilon$, and $g^q = 0$ so $g \in Nil(\mathfrak{M})$. Hence $f \in \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M})}$. □

Thus we have completely characterized when a normal operator is a limit of nilpotent operators in a type I von Neumann algebra with separable predual:

Corollary 3.5. *Suppose* $\mathfrak{M} = L_\infty(X, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})) \oplus \left(\prod_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \overline{\otimes} L_\infty(X_n)\right)$ *where* (X, μ) *and* (X_n, μ_n) *are Radon measure spaces. Let* $P \in \mathfrak{M}$ *be the (central) projection onto* $L_\infty(X, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ *and let* $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{M})$*. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. $N \in \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M})}$.
2. $N \in \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M})}$.
3. $PN = N$ *and* $\sigma(N(x))$ *is connected and contains zero for almost every* $x \in X$.

Now we turn our attention to the case of type III von Neumann algebras. Standard theory implies that every type III von Neumann algebra is a direct integral of type III factors. Thus our first result is the following generalization of Theorem 1.2 to type III factors.

Proposition 3.6. *Let* $\mathfrak{M}$ *be a type III factor with separable predual and let* $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{M})$*. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. $N \in \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M})}$.
2. $N \in \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M})}$.
3. $\sigma(N)$ *is connected and contains zero.*

Proof. Clearly 1) implies 2) and 2) implies 3) is trivial by Lemma 1.3. We shall demonstrate that 3) implies 1).

Suppose $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{M})$ is such that $\sigma(N)$ is connected and contains zero. Since $\mathfrak{M}$ is a type III factor with separable predual, there exists a unital copy of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ inside $\mathfrak{M}$. Choose a normal operator N_0 inside this copy of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\sigma(N_0) = \sigma(N)$. Therefore $N_0 \in \overline{Nil(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))} \subseteq \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M})}$ by Theorem 1.2.

Since $\sigma(N) = \sigma(N_0)$ and since $\mathfrak{M}$ is a type III factor, N and N_0 are approximately unitarily equivalent in $\mathfrak{M}$. Thus $N \in \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M})}$ since $N_0 \in \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M})}$. ☐

With Proposition 3.6 completed, we proceed with the general case. Unfortunately the proof of Theorem 3.7 is more complicated than the proof of Theorem 3.4 as the type III factors are allowed to vary over the direct integral. The idea of the proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 3.6 except that the copy of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ inside our von Neumann algebra must be done 'in a measureable way'.

Theorem 3.7. *Let* $\mathfrak{M}$ *be a type III von Neumann algebra with separable predual. Then there exists a locally compact, complete, separable, metrizable, measure space* (X, μ) *and a collection of type III factors* $(\mathfrak{M}_x)_{x \in X}$ *with separable predual such that* $\mathfrak{M}$ *is a direct integral of* $(\mathfrak{M}_x)_{x \in X}$*. If* $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{M})$ *we may write* $N = \int_X^\oplus N_x \, d\mu(x)$ *where* $N_x \in \mathfrak{M}_x$ *is a normal operator* μ*-almost everywhere. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. $N \in \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M})}$.

2. *$N \in \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M})}$.*
3. *$N_x \in \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M}_x)}$ μ-almost everywhere.*
4. *$N_x \in \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M}_x)}$ μ-almost everywhere.*
5. *$\sigma(N_x)$ is connected and contains zero μ-almost everywhere.*

Proof. For a reminder on direct integrals of von Neumann algebras, we refer the reader to [KR]. The equivalence of 3), 4), and 5) is clear from Proposition 3.6. Clearly 1) implies 2). To see that 2) implies 3), suppose that $N \in \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M})}$. If $M \in QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M})$ then $M_x \in QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M}_x)$ for almost every $x \in X$ by the spectral radius formula. Therefore N_x is almost everywhere the pointwise limit of elements of $QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M}_x)$ and thus $\sigma(N_x)$ is connected and contains zero for almost every $x \in X$ by Lemma 1.3.

Suppose $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{M})$ is such that $\sigma(N_x)$ is connected and contains zero μ-almost everywhere. Thus we can assume that N_x is normal, $\|N_x\| \leq \|N\|$, $0 \in \sigma(N_x)$, and $\sigma(N_x)$ is connected for all $x \in X$.

The issue with applying the proof of Theorem 3.4 is that we may go outside $\mathfrak{M}_x$ at several x which is problematic. Our hope is to show for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $M_x \in Nil(\mathfrak{M}_x)$ for all $x \in X$ such that $\|N_x - M_x\| \leq 2\epsilon$ for all $x \in X$ and $M_x^q = 0$ for all $x \in X$. If $(x \mapsto M_x)$ is measureable, then $M = \int_X^{\oplus} M_x \, d\mu(x)$ will be a nilpotent element of $\mathfrak{M}$ within 2ϵ of N.

To get $(x \mapsto M_x)$ to be measureable, we need to modify the proof of Lemma 3.3. Without loss of generality we may assume $\|N_x\| \leq 1$ for all $x \in X$ and $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2^m}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $C_{k,\ell}$ be as in Lemma 3.3.

For each subset $Y \subseteq \{-2^m, -2^m+1, \ldots, 2^m\} \times \{-2^m, -2^m+1, \ldots, 2^m\}$ define

$$X_Y := \{x \in X \mid \chi_{C_{k,\ell}}(N_x) \neq 0 \text{ if and only if } (k,\ell) \in Y\} \subseteq X$$

where $\chi_Z(N_x)$ is the spectral projection of N_x onto the subset Z.

Since $f(N) = \int_X^{\oplus} f(N_x) \, d\mu(x)$ for all bounded Borel functions f on the spectrum of N, each X_Y is a measureable subset of X. Therefore, since the number of possible Y's is finite, it suffices to show that for each Y there exists a nilpotent operator M_Y in $\mathfrak{M}$ such that the support of M_Y is X_Y and N is within 2ϵ of M_Y when restricted to X_Y. Fix a potential Y. Note that $\bigcup_Y X_Y = X$ and $X_Y = \emptyset$ if $(0,0) \notin Y$ or $\bigcup_{(k,\ell)\in Y} C_{k,\ell}$ is disconnected. Thus we may assume that $\bigcup_{(k,\ell)\in Y} C_{k,\ell}$ is connected, $(0,0) \in Y$, and $X = X_Y$ when performing our approximations.

For each $x \in X$ and $(k,\ell) \in Y$ let $P_{x,k,\ell} := \chi_{C_{k,\ell}}(N_x)$. Note the maps $(x \mapsto P_{x,k,\ell}) = \chi_{C_{k,\ell}}(N)$ are elements of $\mathfrak{M}$ for all $(k,\ell) \in Y$. We claim that $\{(x \mapsto P_{x,k,\ell})\}_{(k,\ell)\in Y}$ are equivalent in $\mathfrak{M}$. To see this, we notice by construction that $\{P_{x,k,\ell}\}_{(k,\ell)\in Y}$ are orthogonal equivalent projections in $\mathfrak{M}_x$ almost everywhere. However, in a type III von Neumann algebra, two projections are equivalent if and only if they have the same central support. By Lemma 14.1.20.v of [KR], the central support in $\mathfrak{M}$ is the direct integral of the central supports in $\mathfrak{M}_x$ and thus the claim is complete.

Recall $(0,0) \in Y$. Since $\mathfrak{M}$ is a type III von Neumann algebra, every non-zero projection of $\mathfrak{M}$ is properly infinite. Thus, as $(x \mapsto P_{x,0,0})$ is non-zero almost everywhere, $(x \mapsto P_{x,0,0})$ is a properly infinite projection. Thus there exists equivalent, pairwise orthogonal, measureable projections $\{(x \mapsto P_{x,0,0,w})\}_{w\geq 1}$ such that

$$(x \mapsto P_{x,0,0}) = \sum_{w\geq 1}(x \mapsto P_{x,0,0,w}).$$

Since $\{(x \mapsto P_{x,k,\ell})\}_{(k,\ell)\in Y}$ are equivalent in $\mathfrak{M}$, by using $\{(x \mapsto P_{x,0,0,w})\}_{w\geq 1}$ there exists equivalent, pairwise orthogonal, measureable projections $\{\{(x \mapsto P_{x,k,\ell,w})\}_{(k,\ell)\in Y}\}_{w\geq 1}$ such that

$$(x \mapsto P_{x,k,\ell}) = \sum_{w\geq 1}(x \mapsto P_{x,k,\ell,w})$$

for all $(k,\ell) \in Y$.

Using the measureable partial isometries implementing the equivalences of $\{\{(x \mapsto P_{x,k,\ell,w})\}_{(k,\ell)\in Y}\}_{w\geq 1}$, we will construct 'a measureable collection of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_x \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_x$'. For each $(k,\ell) \in Y$ let $z_{k,\ell} \in C_{k,\ell}$ to be the centre of $C_{k,\ell}$ (so $z_{0,0} = 0$). Let

$$T := \left(x \mapsto \sum_{(k,\ell)\in Y}\sum_{w\geq 1} z_{k,\ell}P_{x,k,\ell,w}\right)$$

which is a measureable and decomposable operator in $\mathfrak{M}$ (see Theorem 14.1.10 of [KR]). Clearly $\|T - N\| < \epsilon$ by construction.

To construct our nilpotent operator, let D be the diagonal operator on a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with orthonormal basis $\{\{e_{k,\ell,w}\}_{(k,\ell)\in Y}\}_{w\geq 1}$ such that $D(e_{k,\ell,w}) = z_{k,\ell}e_{k,\ell,w}$ for all $w \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(k,\ell) \in Y$. By Lemma 3.2 there exists an $M' \in Nil(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ such that $\|D - M'\| \leq \epsilon$. For each $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(k_1,\ell_1), (k_2,\ell_2) \in Y$ let

$$a_{(k_1,\ell_1,w_1),(k_2,\ell_2,w_2)} := \langle M'e_{k_2,\ell_2,w_2}, e_{k_1,\ell_1,w_1}\rangle \in \mathbb{C}$$

and let $\left(x \mapsto V_{x,(k_1,\ell_1,w_1),(k_2,\ell_2,w_2)}\right) \in \mathfrak{M}$ be the partial isometry such that

$$\left(x \mapsto V_{x,(k_1,\ell_1,w_1),(k_2,\ell_2,w_2)}\right)\left(x \mapsto V_{x,(k_1,\ell_1,w_1),(k_2,\ell_2,w_2)}\right)^* = (x \mapsto P_{x,k_1,\ell_1,w_1})$$

and

$$\left(x \mapsto V_{x,(k_1,\ell_1,w_1),(k_2,\ell_2,w_2)}\right)^*\left(x \mapsto V_{x,(k_1,\ell_1,w_1),(k_2,\ell_2,w_2)}\right) = (x \mapsto P_{x,k_2,\ell_2,w_2}).$$

Finally let

$$M := \left(x \mapsto \sum_{w_1,w_2\geq 1}\sum_{(k_1,\ell_1),(k_2,\ell_2)\in Y} a_{(k_1,\ell_1,w_1),(k_2,\ell_2,w_2)}V_{x,(k_1,\ell_1,w_1),(k_2,\ell_2,w_2)}\right)$$

which is a measureable and decomposable operator in $\mathfrak{M}$. Moreover M is also nilpotent as, for each $x \in X$, M_x is a copy of M'. Since $\|D - M'\| \leq \epsilon$, $\|(T)_x - (M)_x\| \leq \epsilon$ for all $x \in X$. Whence $\|T - M\| \leq \epsilon$ so $\|N - M\| \leq 2\epsilon$ thus completing the proof. □

A natural question to ask is, "Is there a way to determine when a normal operator in a type II von Neumann algebra is a limit of nilpotent or quasinilpotent operators?" A partial answer to this question will be given in Section 7. Section 5 will provide some restrictions in the case of a type II_1 von Neumann algebra. The case of a type II_∞ von Neumann algebra is an enigma; there do not exist enough infinite equivalent projections to generalize the above arguments but none of the known restrictions apply.

4 Restrictions by Compact Representations

In this section we will provide some simple results that give examples of C*-algebras where the only normal operator that is in the closure of the quasinilpotent operators is the zero operator. These results will exploit the fact that $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathfrak{K}$ have this property. Moreover, we will demonstrate some methods of constructing additional examples of C*-algebras with this property.

In Section 6 (see Theorem 6.10) we will give an example of a C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ where

$$Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})} \neq \{0\} \quad \text{yet} \quad \mathfrak{A}_{sa} \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})} = \{0\}.$$

Thus we not restrict ourselves to the setting that $Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})} = \{0\}$ in this section. Also, since it is unclear if $\overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})} = \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$, we will not restrict ourselves to the closure of the nilpotent operators in this section. We note that the statements $\mathfrak{A}_+ \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})} = \{0\}$ and $\mathfrak{A}_{sa} \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})} = \{0\}$ are equivalent.

The main idea behind this section is the following trivial result.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a C*-algebra. If $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$ and $\pi : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a representation of $\mathfrak{A}$ such that $\pi(\mathfrak{A}) \subseteq \mathfrak{K}$ then $\pi(N) = 0$.*

To apply this result, we make the following definition.

Definition 4.2. A C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is said to have a separating family of compact representations if there exists a set $\{\pi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ of representations of $\mathfrak{A}$ such that $\pi_\alpha(A)$ is a compact operator for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} ker(\pi_\alpha) = \{0\}$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, a C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is said to have a n-bounded, separating family of finite dimensional representations if there exists a set $\{\pi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ of finite dimensional representations of $\mathfrak{A}$ on Hilbert spaces with dimension at most n such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} ker(\pi_\alpha) = \{0\}$.

There are a plethora of examples of C*-algebras that have a separating family of compact representations. For example, all finite type I von Neumann algebras and all liminal C*-algebras (a C*-algebra where every irreducible representation has range in the compact operators) have separating families of compact representations. Examples of liminal C*-algebras include abelian C*-algebras, C*-algebras defined by a continuous field of non-zero elementary C*-algebras, the C*-algebra of a semisimple, connected, real Lie group, the C*-algebra of a nilpotent, connected, real Lie group, and the C*-algebra of a semisimple, linear, connected, real Lie group (see [Di]). All residually-finite dimensional C*-algebras (a C*-algebra that embeds into a product of matrix algebras) have an ∞-bounded, separating family of finite dimensional representations. For example, $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is residually-finite dimensional (see Proposition VII.6.1 of [Da]). Note there exists C*-algebras that have separating family of compact representations that are not liminal (see 4.7.5 in [Di]).

By Lemma 4.1 it is easy to see why we are considering Definition 4.2.

Proposition 4.3. *Let* $\mathfrak{A}$ *be a C*-algebra with a separating family of compact representations. Then* $Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})} = \{0\}$.

Proof. Let $\{\pi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be a separating family of compact representations of $\mathfrak{A}$. If $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$, $N \in ker(\pi_\alpha)$ for each $\alpha \in I$ by Lemma 4.1. Hence $N \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} ker(\pi_\alpha) = \{0\}$. ☐

Proposition 4.3 is not the only result that can be obtained with Definition 4.2. In particular, the following provides several C*-algebras $\mathfrak{A}$ where $Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{QuasNil(\mathfrak{A})} = \{0\}$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let* $\mathfrak{C}$ *be a C*-algebra with a separating family of compact representations and let* $\mathfrak{B}$ *be a C*-algebra that is the extension of* $\mathfrak{C}$ *by a C*-algebra* $\mathfrak{A}$*. Then the following are true:*

1. *If* $\mathfrak{A}_{sa} \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})} = \{0\}$ *then* $\mathfrak{B}_{sa} \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{B})} = \{0\}$.
2. *If* $\mathfrak{A}_{sa} \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})} = \{0\}$ *then* $\mathfrak{B}_{sa} \cap \overline{QuasNil(\mathfrak{B})} = \{0\}$.
3. *If* $Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})} = \{0\}$ *then* $Nor(\mathfrak{B}) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{B})} = \{0\}$.
4. *If* $Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})} = \{0\}$ *then* $Nor(\mathfrak{B}) \cap \overline{QuasNil(\mathfrak{B})} = \{0\}$.

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{B}$ is an extension of $\mathfrak{C}$ by $\mathfrak{A}$, the sequence

$$0 \to \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{B} \xrightarrow{q} \mathfrak{A} \to 0$$

is exact. Suppose $\mathfrak{A}_{sa} \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})} = \{0\}$ and $N \in \mathfrak{B}_{sa} \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{B})}$. Therefore $q(N) \in \mathfrak{A}_{sa} \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})} = \{0\}$ so $N \in \mathfrak{C}$.

To see that $N = 0$, let $\{\pi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be a separating family of compact representations of $\mathfrak{C}$. Each π_α extends to a representation $\tilde{\pi}_\alpha$ of $\mathfrak{B}$. Thus

$$\pi_\alpha(N) \in \mathfrak{K}_{sa} \cap \overline{Nil(\tilde{\pi}_\alpha(\mathfrak{B}))}$$

for all $\alpha \in I$. Hence $\pi_\alpha(N) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in I$ by Lemma 1.3. Hence $N = 0$ as desired.

The proofs of the remaining three statements are identical. ☐

Example 4.5. The Toeplitz algebra, $\mathcal{T}$, has no non-zero normal operators that are limits of quasinilpotent operators since $\mathcal{T}$ is an extension of $\mathfrak{K}$ by

$C(\mathbb{T})$. Moreover $\mathcal{T} \otimes_{\min} \mathfrak{K}$ has no non-zero normal operators that are limits of quasinilpotent operators as the sequence

$$0 \to \mathfrak{K} \otimes_{\min} \mathfrak{K} \to \mathcal{T} \otimes_{\min} \mathfrak{K} \to C(\mathbb{T}) \otimes_{\min} \mathfrak{K} \to 0$$

is exact and $C(\mathbb{T}) \otimes_{\min} \mathfrak{K}$ clearly has a separating family of compact representations.

The following proposition also allows us to show that $\mathcal{T} \otimes_{\min} \mathfrak{C}$ has no non-zero normal operators in the closure of the quasinilpotent operators for all C*-algebras $\mathfrak{C}$ with a separating family of compact representations.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be a C*-algebra with a separating family of compact representations and let $\mathfrak{D}$ be a C*-algebra. Let $\mathfrak{A} := \mathfrak{D} \otimes_{\min} \mathfrak{K}$ and let $\mathfrak{B} := \mathfrak{D} \otimes_{\min} \mathfrak{C}$. Then the following are true:*

1. *If $\mathfrak{A}_{sa} \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})} = \{0\}$ then $\mathfrak{B}_{sa} \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{B})} = \{0\}$.*
2. *If $\mathfrak{A}_{sa} \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})} = \{0\}$ then $\mathfrak{B}_{sa} \cap \overline{QuasNil(\mathfrak{B})} = \{0\}$.*
3. *If $Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})} = \{0\}$ then $Nor(\mathfrak{B}) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{B})} = \{0\}$.*
4. *If $Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})} = \{0\}$ then $Nor(\mathfrak{B}) \cap \overline{QuasNil(\mathfrak{B})} = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathfrak{A}_{sa} \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})} = \{0\}$ and $N \in \mathfrak{B}_{sa} \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{B})}$. Let $\{\pi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be a separating family of compact representations of $\mathfrak{C}$. For all $\alpha \in I$ $Id_{\mathfrak{D}} \otimes \pi_\alpha : \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{A}$ is a *-homomorphism so

$$(Id_{\mathfrak{D}} \otimes \pi_\alpha)(N) \in \mathfrak{A}_{sa} \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})} = \{0\}.$$

Hence $(Id_{\mathfrak{D}} \otimes \pi_\alpha)(N) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in I$. Therefore, if π_0 is the direct sum of $\{\pi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$, $(Id_{\mathfrak{D}} \otimes \pi_0)(N) = 0$. Since $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} ker(\pi_\alpha) = \{0\}$, π_0 is faithful and thus $(Id_{\mathfrak{D}} \otimes \pi_0)(N) = 0$ implies $N = 0$.

The proofs of the remaining three statements are identical. □

The proof of the following is identical.

Corollary 4.7. *Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be a C*-algebra with a m-bounded separating family of finite dimensional representations for some $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a C*-algebra, and let $\mathfrak{B} := \mathfrak{C} \otimes_{\min} \mathfrak{A}$. Then the following are true:*

1. *If $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathfrak{A})_{sa} \cap \overline{Nil(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathfrak{A}))} = \{0\}$ for all $n \leq m$ then $\mathfrak{B}_{sa} \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{B})} = \{0\}$.*
2. *If $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathfrak{A})_{sa} \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathfrak{A}))} = \{0\}$ for all $n \leq m$ then $\mathfrak{B}_{sa} \cap \overline{QuasNil(\mathfrak{B})} = \{0\}$.*
3. *If $Nor(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathfrak{A})) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathfrak{A}))} = \{0\}$ for all $n \leq m$ then $Nor(\mathfrak{B}) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{B})} = \{0\}$.*
4. *If $Nor(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathfrak{A})) \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathfrak{A}))} = \{0\}$ for all $n \leq m$ then $Nor(\mathfrak{B}) \cap \overline{QuasNil(\mathfrak{B})} = \{0\}$.*

To complete this section we turn our attention to replacing representations of C*-algebras with contractive, completely positive maps. One interesting class of C*-algebras are the quasidiagonal C*-algebras. Recall that a C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is said to be quasidiagonal if there exists a net of contractive, completely positive maps from $\mathfrak{A}$ into matrix algebras that are asymptotically multiplicative and isometric. Later (see Theorem 6.6 and Theorem 8.6) we will demonstrate that there are quasidiagonal C*-algebras with non-zero self-adjoint operators in the closure of the nilpotent operators. However some partial result can be obtained in the case that there is a bounded on the size of the matrix algebras in the completely positive approximation. We begin with the following trivial lemma.

Lemma 4.8. *If* $M \in Nil(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ *then* $\|M - M^*\| \geq \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}\,\|M\|$.

Proof. Without loss of generality $\|M\| = 1$ and $n \geq 2$. Note M is unitarily equivalent to a strictly upper triangular matrix. As unitary equivalent preserves the norms of M and $M - M^*$, we can assume $M = [a_{i,j}]$ where $a_{i,j} = 0$ if $i \geq j$ and $M - M^* = [b_{i,j}]$ where $b_{i,i} = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $b_{i,j} = a_{i,j}$ if $j > i$, and $b_{i,j} = \overline{a_{j,i}}$ if $i > j$. Since $\|M\| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \leq \left(\textstyle\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} |a_{i,j}|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\textstyle\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} |b_{i,j}|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(n\,\|M - M^*\|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \tfrac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{2}}\,\|M - M^*\| \end{aligned}$$

as the ℓ_2-norm and operator norm of a matrix with a single non-zero column agree. $\square$

Proposition 4.9. *Let* $\mathfrak{A}$ *be a C*-algebra with a net of c.c.p. maps* $\varphi_\alpha : \mathfrak{A} \to \oplus_{j=1}^{m_\alpha}\mathcal{M}_{n_{j,\alpha}}(\mathbb{C})$ *such that* $\|A\| = \lim_\Lambda \|\varphi_\alpha(A)\|$ *for all* $A \in \mathfrak{A}$,

$$\lim_\Lambda \|\varphi_\alpha(AB) - \varphi_\alpha(A)\varphi_\alpha(B)\| = 0$$

for all $A, B \in \mathfrak{A}$, *and* $\{n_{j,\alpha}\}_{j\geq 1, \alpha\in\Lambda}$ *is a bounded set. Then* $\mathfrak{A}_{sa} \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})} = \{0\}$.

Proof. Suppose otherwise that there exists an $A \in \left(\mathfrak{A}_{sa} \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}\right) \setminus \{0\}$. Let $\ell := \sup_{\alpha\in\Lambda, j\geq 1} n_{j,\alpha} < \infty$. Without loss of generality $\|A\| = 1$ and $\ell \geq 2$. Choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\ell}}(1 - 2\epsilon) > 5\epsilon.$$

Since $A \in \left(\mathfrak{A}_{sa} \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}\right) \setminus \{0\}$, there exists an $M \in QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M})$ such that $\|M\| = 1$ and $\|A - M\| \leq \epsilon$. As A is self-adjoint, $\|M - M^*\| \leq 2\epsilon$.

Since $M \in QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})$, there exists a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left\|M^k\right\|^{\frac{1}{k}} < \epsilon$ by the spectral radius formula. Therefore

$$\lim_\Lambda \|\varphi_\alpha(M) - \varphi_\alpha(M)^*\| = \|M - M^*\| \leq 2\epsilon, \qquad \lim_\Lambda \|\varphi_\alpha(M)\| = \|M\| = 1$$

and

$$\lim_{\Lambda} \left\|\varphi_\alpha(M)^k\right\|^{\frac{1}{k}} = \lim_{\alpha} \left\|\varphi_\alpha(M^k)\right\|^{\frac{1}{k}} = \left\|M^k\right\|^{\frac{1}{k}} < \epsilon$$

by the two properties of the net $(\varphi_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$.

Fix $\alpha \in \Lambda$ such that

$$\left\|\varphi_\alpha(M)^k\right\| < \epsilon^k, \quad \|\varphi_\alpha(M) - \varphi_\alpha(M)^*\| \leq 3\epsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad \|\varphi_\alpha(M)\| \geq 1-\epsilon.$$

Since $\oplus_{j=1}^{m_\alpha}\mathcal{M}_{n_{j,\alpha}}(\mathbb{C})$ is a finite dimension C*-algebra, $\varphi_\alpha(M) = U(D+M_0)U^*$ where U is a unitary, D is a diagonal matrix, and M_0 is a strictly upper triangular matrix. Therefore $\left\|(D+M_0)^k\right\| < \epsilon^k$. However, since D^k and $(D+M_0)^k$ agree on the diagonal, $\left\|D^k\right\| < \epsilon^k$. Hence $\|D\| < \epsilon$. Therefore $\|\varphi_\alpha(M) - UM_0U^*\| < \epsilon$ so $\varphi_\alpha(M)$ is within ϵ of the nilpotent matrix UM_0U^*. Moreover

$$\|UM_0U^* - (UM_0U^*)^*\| \leq 2\|\varphi_\alpha(M) - UM_0U^*\| + \|\varphi_\alpha(M) - \varphi_\alpha(M)^*\| \leq 5\epsilon.$$

However $\|T - T^*\| \geq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\ell}}\|T\|$ for every $T \in Nil\left(\oplus_{j=1}^{m_\alpha}\mathcal{M}_{n_{j,\alpha}}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ by Lemma 4.8. Therefore

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\ell}}(1-2\epsilon) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\ell}}(\|\varphi_\alpha(M_n)\| - \epsilon) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\ell}}\|UM_0U^*\| \leq 5\epsilon$$

which contradicts the choice of ϵ. ☐

5 Restrictions by Tracial States

In this section we will demonstrate how tracial states on C*-algebras provide restrictions to the spectra of normal operators in the closure of the quasinilpotent operators. In particular, provided there are enough tracial states, strong restrictions are obtained (see Corollary 5.9 and Theorem 5.15).

To begin we note the following result that enables us to create additional elements of $\overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a C*-algebra and let $T \in \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$. Then*

$$\overline{alg(T)}, \overline{alg(T^*)} \subseteq \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}.$$

Similarly if $T \in \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})}$ then $\overline{alg(T)}, \overline{alg(T^*)} \subseteq \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})}$.

Proof. It is clear that the adjoint of an element of $QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})$ (respectively $Nil(\mathfrak{A})$) is an element of $QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})$ (respectively $Nil(\mathfrak{A})$). Moreover, if p is a polynomial such that $p(0) = 0$ then if $M \in QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})$ (respectively $M \in Nil(\mathfrak{A})$) then $p(M) \in QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})$ (respectively $p(M) \in Nil(\mathfrak{A})$). Thus the result follows. ☐

Now we shall introduce the main tool for the results of this section.

Definition 5.2. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a C*-algebra. A tracial state τ on $\mathfrak{A}$ is a positive linear functional of norm one such that $\tau(AB) = \tau(BA)$ for all $A, B \in \mathfrak{A}$.

There are several examples of C*-algebras with tracial states. For example, finite dimensional C*-algebras, the reduced group C*-algebra of a countable discrete group, abelian C*-algebras, type II$_1$ von Neumann algebras, and uniformly hyperfinite C*-algebras all have tracial states. The reason for examining C*-algebras with tracial states is the following.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a C*-algebra, let τ be a tracial state on $\mathfrak{A}$, and let $M \in QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})$. Then $\tau(M) = 0$.*

Proof. If $\mathfrak{A}$ is not unital, the linear map $\tilde{\tau} : \tilde{\mathfrak{A}} \to \mathfrak{C}$ on the unitization $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ defined by

$$\tilde{\tau}(\lambda I_{\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}} + A) = \lambda + \tau(A)$$

for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is easily seen to be a tracial state on $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ that extends τ. Hence we may assume that $\mathfrak{A}$ is unital.

By Rota's Theorem (see Corollary 9.14 of [Pa] for example) and the fact that $\sigma(M) = 0$, for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an $B_\epsilon \in \mathfrak{A}^{-1}$ such that $\left\|B_\epsilon^{-1} M B_\epsilon\right\| < \epsilon$. Therefore

$$|\tau(M)| = |\tau(B_\epsilon^{-1} M B_\epsilon)| \leq \left\|B_\epsilon^{-1} M B_\epsilon\right\| < \epsilon.$$

Thus, as this holds for all $\epsilon > 0$, $\tau(M) = 0$. ☐

Corollary 5.4. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a C*-algebra and let τ be a tracial state on $\mathfrak{A}$. Then*

$$dist(T, QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})) \geq |\tau(T)|$$

for all $T \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Corollary 5.5. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a C*-algebra, let τ be a tracial state on $\mathfrak{A}$, and let $T \in \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$. Then $\tau(p(T)) = 0$ and $\tau(p(T^*)) = 0$ for all polynomials p such that $p(0) = 0$.*

Note Corollary 5.5 automatically implies that if $T \in \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$ then $alg(T)$ is in the kernel of every tracial state on $\mathfrak{A}$. Thus it is useful to examine C*-algebras with several tracial states.

Definition 5.6. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a C*-algebra. A tracial state τ on $\mathfrak{A}$ is said to be faithful if $\tau(A) > 0$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}_+ \setminus \{0\}$.

A C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is said to have a separating family of tracial states if for every $A \in \mathfrak{A}_+ \setminus \{0\}$ there exists a tracial state on $\mathfrak{A}$ such that $\tau(A) > 0$.

For example, finite dimensional C*-algebras, the reduced group C*-algebra of a countable discrete group, abelian C*-algebras, type II$_1$ factors, and uniformly hyperfinite C*-algebras all have faithful tracial states. Every type II$_1$ von Neumann algebra has a separating family of tracial states.

Using Corollary 5.5 we easily obtain the following restriction.

Proposition 5.7. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a C*-algebra with a separating family of tracial states and let $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{A})$ be such that there exists a polynomial p with $p(0) = 0$, $p(N) \neq 0$, and $p(\sigma(N)) \subseteq [0, \infty)$. Then $N \notin \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$. Thus $\mathfrak{A}_{sa} \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})} = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$ and a polynomial p such that $p(0) = 0$, $p(N) \neq 0$, and $p(\sigma(N)) \subseteq [0, \infty)$. Then $p(N) \in \mathfrak{A}_+ \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$ by Lemma 5.1. Since $p(N) \neq 0$, the assumptions on $\mathfrak{A}$ imply that there exists a tracial state τ on $\mathfrak{A}$ such that $\tau(p(N)) > 0$ which contradicts Corollary 5.5. ☐

Using complex analysis it is easy to improve Proposition 5.7. The main tool is the following theorem.

Theorem 5.8 (Mergelyan's Theorem; see Theorem 20.5 of [Ru])**.** *Let K be a compact set in the plane such that $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ is connected. If f is a continuous function on K which is holomorphic on the interior of K then f can be uniformly approximated by polynomials on K.*

Corollary 5.9. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a C*-algebra and let $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \setminus \{0\}$ be such that $int(\sigma(N)) = \emptyset$ and $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(N)$ is connected. Then the following are true:*

1. *If $\mathfrak{A}_+ \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})} = \{0\}$ then $N \notin \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$.*
2. *If $\mathfrak{A}_+ \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})} = \{0\}$ then $N \notin \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})}$.*

Consequently, if $\mathfrak{A}$ is a C-algebra with a separating family of tracial states then $N \notin \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathfrak{A}_+ \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})} = \{0\}$ and $N \in \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$. Then $0 \in \sigma(N)$ by Lemma 1.3. Define $f \in C(\sigma(N))$ by $f(z) = |z|$ for all $z \in \sigma(N)$. Since $f(0) = 0$, Mergelyan's Theorem implies f is the uniform limit on $\sigma(N)$ of polynomials that vanish at zero and thus $f(N) \in \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$ by Lemma 5.1. Since $f(N) \in \mathfrak{A}_+$, $f(N) = 0$ so $N = 0$ as claimed.

The second claim is identical to the first and the final claim follows from Proposition 5.7. ☐

Corollary 5.9 is the strongest restriction that has been obtained on the spectrum of a normal operator in the closure of the quasinilpotent operators of a C*-algebra with a separating family of tracial states. To obtain stronger restrictions, we turn our attention to C*-algebras with faithful tracial states.

Remarks 5.10. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a unital C*-algebra, let τ be a tracial state on $\mathfrak{A}$, and let $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{A})$. Consider the C*-algebra $\mathfrak{C} = C^*(1, N, N^*)$ and $\tau|_{\mathfrak{C}}$. Then $\tau|_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is an element of the dual space of $\mathfrak{C}$ and thus can be associated with a complex, regular, Borel measure μ on $\sigma(N)$. Thus we view $\tau|_{\mathbb{C}}(f(N)) = \int_{\sigma(N)} f \, d\mu$ for $f \in C(\sigma(N))$. Moreover, since τ is positive and unital, μ is a probability measure on $\sigma(N)$.

If τ is faithful then $\mu(U) > 0$ for all relatively open sets U in $\sigma(N)$. This is a trivial consequence of the Monotone Convergence Theorem. Thus the support of μ is $\sigma(N)$.

If $N \in \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$ then $\tau(p(N)) = \tau(p(N^*)) = 0$ for all polynomials p that vanish at zero by Corollary 5.5. Therefore, since $N \simeq z$ and $N^* \simeq \overline{z}$, $\int_{\sigma(N)} z^n \, d\mu = 0$ and $\int_{\sigma(N)} \overline{z}^n \, d\mu = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is therefore of interest to our main problem to determine the supports of all probability measures μ with compact support such that $\int z^n \, d\mu = 0$ and $\int \overline{z}^n \, d\mu = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Unfortunately we have not been able to classify the supports of such measures. However, some progress has been made that enables us to improve Corollary 5.9 in the case our C*-algebra has a faithful tracial state.

To begin our discussion of normal limits of quasinilpotent operators in C*-algebras with faithful tracial states, we make the following definition.

Definition 5.11. A subset $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is said to be a non-quasinilpotent spectrum if $N \notin \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$ for all C*-algebras $\mathfrak{A}$ with a faithful tracial state and all $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\sigma(N) \subseteq X$.

It is clear if $X \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ then X is a non-quasinilpotent spectrum by Lemma 1.3. Moreover a subset of a non-quasinilpotent spectrum is a non-quasinilpotent spectrum and Corollary 5.9 provides some examples of non-quasinilpotent spectra. In addition, the following result is trivial.

Lemma 5.12. *If X is a non-quasinilpotent spectrum then $re^{i\theta}X$ is a non-quasinilpotent spectrum for all $r, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Of more interest are the following non-quasinilpotent spectra.

Lemma 5.13. *Every closed half-plane with zero on the boundary is a non-quasinilpotent spectrum.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.12 it suffices to prove that the closed upper half-plane is a non-quasinilpotent spectrum.

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a C*-algebra with a faithful tracial state τ and let $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$ be such that $\sigma(N)$ is contained in the closed upper half-plane. Let μ be the measure on $\sigma(N)$ from Remarks 5.10. Then

$$\int_{\sigma(N)} Im(z) \, d\mu = \frac{1}{2i} \int_{\sigma(N)} z - \overline{z} \, d\mu = 0.$$

However, since relatively open subsets of $\sigma(N)$ have positive μ-measure and $Im(z) > 0$ above the x-axis, the above integral implies $\sigma(N)$ must lie on the x-axis. This implies that

$$N \in \mathfrak{A}_{sa} \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}.$$

Thus Proposition 5.7 implies $N = 0$. □

Lemma 5.14. *For each* $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi)$ *let* $X_\alpha := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \lambda = re^{i\theta}, r \geq 0, \theta \in [0, 2\pi) \setminus \{\alpha\}\}$*. Then each* X_α *is a non-quasinilpotent spectrum.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the result for $\alpha = \pi$ by Lemma 5.12.

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a C*-algebra with a faithful tracial state τ and let $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$ be such that $\sigma(N) \subseteq X_\pi$. Thus $0 \in \sigma(N)$ by Lemma 1.3.

Recall $\sigma(N)$ is compact and bounded. Let K' be the union of $\sigma(N)$ with the bounded components of the complement of $\sigma(N)$. Then K' is a compact set such that $0 \notin int(K')$, $\mathbb{C} \setminus K'$ is connected, and $K' \subseteq X_\pi$.

Consider the function $f(z) = z^{\frac{1}{2}}$ on X_π (where the principle branch has been selected). Then f is a continuous function on X_π and holomorphic on the interior of K'. Consequently, as $f(0) = 0$, f is the uniform limit on K' of polynomials that vanish at zero by Mergelyan's Theorem. Therefore, since $N \in \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$, Lemma 5.1 implies

$$f(N) \in Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}.$$

However $\sigma(f(N)) = f(\sigma(N))$ is contained in the closed right half plane through the origin. Hence $f(N) = 0$ by Lemma 5.13. Thus $N = f(N)^2 = 0$ as desired. □

Theorem 5.15. *Suppose that* $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ *is such that* $0 \in X$ *and* $\mathbb{C} \setminus X$ *is connected. Suppose further that there exists an element* $y \in \mathbb{C} \setminus X$ *such that the line segment* $f(t) = ty$ *for* $t \in (0, 1]$ *is contained in* $\mathbb{C} \setminus X$*. Then* X *is a non-quasinilpotent spectrum.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.12 we can assume that $y = 1$.

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a C*-algebra with a faithful tracial state τ and let $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$ be such that $\sigma(N) \subseteq X$. Thus $0 \in \sigma(N)$ by Lemma 1.3.

Consider the function $g(z) = \frac{1}{z-1} + 1$ on X. Then, since $1 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus X \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(N)$ (which is open), g is analytic on a neighbourhood of $\sigma(N)$. Since $g(0) = 0$, Mergelyan's Theorem implies g is the uniform limit on $\sigma(N)$ of polynomials that vanish at zero. Hence

$$g(N) \in Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}.$$

However, since $(0, 1] \notin X$, $g((0, 1]) = (0, \infty)$, and g is injective, $(0, \infty) \notin \sigma(g(N))$. Thus $g(N) = 0$ by Lemma 5.14. Since g is a fractional linear transformation, g is invertible and thus $N = g^{-1}(g(N)) = g^{-1}(0) = 0$. □

It would be pleasant if the assumptions of Theorem 5.15 could be reduced to knowing zero is in the boundary of the unbounded connected component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus X$. Theorem 6.10 will demonstrate that we cannot expect $Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})} = \{0\}$ for an arbitrary C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ with a faithful tracial state.

6 AF C*-Algebras

In this section we will investigate when a normal operator in a AF C*-algebra is a norm limit of nilpotent operators. The study of such operators is intrinsically related to how normal matrices can be asymptotically approximated by nilpotent matrices as we allow the dimension of our matrices to increase. Proposition 6.5 will improve Proposition 4.9 to normal operators in a similar setting whereas Theorem 6.6 exhibits an AF C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ where $\mathfrak{A}_{sa} \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})} \neq \{0\}$ thus showing Proposition 4.9 cannot be generalized to arbitrary quasidiagonal C*-algebras. Moreover, in Theorem 6.10 which is the main result of this section, we will exhibit a C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ with a faithful tracial state such that $Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})} \neq \emptyset$. All of this together (along with Proposition 8.10) implies that the study of $Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})}$ for AF C*-algebras $\mathfrak{A}$ is incredibly complex.

We begin with the following important result.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be an AF C*-algebra and write $\mathfrak{A} = \overline{\bigcup_{k\geq 1} \mathfrak{A}_k}$ where $\mathfrak{A}_1 \overset{\alpha_1}{\to} \mathfrak{A}_2 \overset{\alpha_2}{\to} \mathfrak{A}_3 \overset{\alpha_3}{\to} \cdots$ is a direct limit of finite dimensional C*-algebras with α_k injective for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $T \in \mathfrak{A}$ following are equivalent:*

1. *$T \in \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$.*
2. *$T \in \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})}$.*
3. *$T \in \overline{\bigcup_{k\geq 1} Nil(\mathfrak{A}_k)}$.*

Proof. Clearly 3) implies 2) and 2) implies 1). Suppose $T \in \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and choose $M \in QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})$ such that $\|T - M\| < \epsilon$. Since $M \in \overline{\bigcup_{k\geq 1} \mathfrak{A}_k}$ and by the semicontinuity of the spectrum, there exist an $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and an operator $M_0 \in \mathfrak{A}_k$ such that $\|M_0 - M\| < \epsilon$ and

$$\sigma(M_0) \subseteq \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid dist(z, \sigma(M)) < \epsilon\} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < \epsilon\}.$$

Since $\mathfrak{A}_k$ is a finite dimensional C*-algebra, $\mathfrak{A}_k$ is a direct sum of matrix algebras. Thus M_0 is unitarily equivalent to a direct sum of upper triangular matrices. Each of these upper triangular matrices is the sum of a nilpotent matrix and a diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are in $\sigma(M_0)$. Since the equivalence is via a unitary, by subtracting the diagonal part we obtain an $M' \in Nil(\mathfrak{A}_k)$ such that

$$\|M_0 - M'\| \leq \sup\{|z| \mid z \in \sigma(M_0)\} < \epsilon.$$

Therefore $\|T - M'\| < 3\epsilon$ completing the proof. □

Remarks 6.2. The study of which normal operators of an AF C*-algebra are in the closure of the nilpotent operators is intrinsically connected to the distribution of eigenvalues of normal matrices that are asymptotically approximated by nilpotent matrices as we allow the dimension of the matrices to increase.

Indeed if $\mathfrak{A}$ is an AF C*-algebra with $\mathfrak{A} = \overline{\bigcup_{k\geq 1} \mathfrak{A}_k}$ where $\mathfrak{A}_1 \overset{\alpha_1}{\to} \mathfrak{A}_2 \overset{\alpha_2}{\to} \mathfrak{A}_3 \overset{\alpha_3}{\to} \cdots$ is a direct limit of finite dimensional C*-algebras with α_k injective for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then it is easy to see by Proposition 6.1 and by [Li1] that $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})}$ if and only if for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an $N_k \in Nor(\mathfrak{A}_k)$ such that $N = \lim_{k\to\infty} N_k$ and $\lim_{k\to\infty} dist(N_k, Nil(\mathfrak{A}_k)) = 0$. Moreover, since $N = \lim_{k\to\infty} N_k$, $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|\alpha_k(N_k) - N_{k+1}\| = 0$. This is possible only if for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the eigenvalues of $\alpha_k(N_k)$ and N_{k+1} (including multiplicities) can be paired together in a manner such that the maximum of the absolute values of the differences tends to zero as k tends to infinity.

Similarly, if $N_k \in Nor(\mathfrak{A}_k)$ can be chosen such that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the eigenvalues of $\alpha_k(N_k)$ and N_{k+1} (including multiplicities) can be paired together inside the appropriate direct summand of $\mathfrak{A}_{k+1}$ in a manner such that the maximum of the absolute values of the differences tends to zero as k tends to infinity and $\lim_{k\to\infty} dist(N_k, Nil(\mathfrak{A}_k)) = 0$, then, by taking unitary conjugates of the N_k's, it is possible to construct a Cauchy sequence in $\mathfrak{A}$ that converges to a normal operator N in the closure of the nilpotent operators.

Example 6.3. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $A_n \in \mathcal{M}_{2^n}(\mathbb{C})$ be a diagonal matrix with spectrum $\left\{\frac{1}{2^n}, \frac{2}{2^n}, \ldots, 1\right\}$. Then

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} dist(A_n, Nil(\mathcal{M}_{2^n}(\mathbb{C}))) > 0.$$

To see this, we note that the sequence $(A_n)_{n\geq 1}$ can be used to construct a Cauchy sequence in the 2^∞-UHF C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ that converges to a non-zero positive operator A. If $\liminf_{n\to\infty} dist(A_n, Nil(\mathcal{M}_{2^n}(\mathbb{C}))) = 0$ then A would be the limit of elements of $Nil(\mathfrak{A})$ which would contradict Proposition 5.7 as $\mathfrak{A}$ has a faithful tracial state.

Alternative

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} dist(A_n, Nil(\mathcal{M}_{2^n}(\mathbb{C}))) \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

by Corollary 5.4.

Note, in the above example, we can view each A_n as a positive operator whose spectrum is the first 2^n entries of the sequence $\{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{7}{8}, \ldots\}$. Thus, by Remarks 6.2, we are interested in the following question: "Given a sequence $(a_n)_{n\geq 1} \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{N})$ does $\liminf_{n\to\infty} dist(diag(a_1, \ldots, a_n), Nil(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}))) = 0$?" Using an argument similar to that used in Lemma 2.2 would imply $\overline{\{a_n\}_{n\geq 1}}$ must be a connected set containing zero in order for an affirmative answer to this question. Thus the following is of particular interest.

Proposition 6.4. *There exists an sequence* $(a_n)_{n\geq 1} \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{C})_+ \setminus \{0\}$ *with* $\overline{\{a_n\}_{n\geq 1}} = [0, 1]$ *such that*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} dist(diag(a_1, \ldots, a_n), Nil(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}))) = 0.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a positive matrix $A_n \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ of norm one such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} dist(A_n, Nil(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}))) = 0$. Choose $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $dist(A_{n_1}, Nil(\mathcal{M}_{n_1}(\mathbb{C}))) \leq 1$. Let the first n_1 a_j's be the eigenvalues of A_{n_1} (including multiplicity).

Let $R_1 := A_{n_1}$. By Lemma 2.3 $\sigma(A_n)$ progressively gets dense in $[0,1]$ as n increases. Therefore there exists an $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sigma(A_{n_2}) \cap \left[\frac{k}{2^2}, \frac{k+1}{2^2}\right) \neq \emptyset$$

for all $k \in \{0,1,2,3\}$ and

$$dist(A_{n_2}, Nil(\mathcal{M}_{n_2}(\mathbb{C}))) \leq \frac{1}{2^2}.$$

By comparing the eigenvalues of R_1 and A_{n_2} there exists an $m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and an injective map f_1 from the eigenvalues of R_1 (including multiplicity) to the eigenvalues of $A_{n_2}^{\oplus m_1}$ (including multiplicity) such that $|\lambda - f_1(\lambda)| \leq \frac{1}{4}$ for all eigenvalues λ of R_1 (including multiplicity). Therefore, if $A_{n_2}^{\oplus m_1} \ominus R_1$ denotes the $(m_1 n_2 - n_1) \times (m_1 n_2 - n_1)$ diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are the eigenvalues of $A_{n_2}^{\oplus m_1}$ (including multiplicities) excluding $f_1(\lambda)$ for all eigenvalues λ of R_1 (including multiplicity), then

$$R_2 := R_1 \oplus (A_{n_2}^{\oplus m_1} \ominus R_1)$$

is within $\frac{1}{4}$ of a unitary conjugate of $A_{n_2}^{\oplus m_1}$ and thus

$$\begin{array}{rcl} dist(R_2, Nil(\mathcal{M}_{n_2 m_1}(\mathbb{C})) & \leq & \frac{1}{4} + dist(A_{n_2}^{\oplus m_1}, Nil(\mathcal{M}_{n_2 m_1}(\mathbb{C})) \\ & \leq & \frac{1}{4} + dist(A_{n_2}, Nil(\mathcal{M}_{n_2}(\mathbb{C})) \leq \frac{1}{2}. \end{array}$$

Thus define the next $m_1 n_2 - n_1$ a_j's to be the eigenvalues of $A_{n_2}^{\oplus m_1} \ominus R_1$ (including multiplicity).

By continuing this process ad nauseum, the desired sequence $(a_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is obtained. □

Of course the existence of the above sequence does not imply that there exists an AF C*-algebra with a non-zero positive operator in the closure of the nilpotent operators as the structure required for such an operator is more complex (see Remarks 6.2).

Refocusing back to determining when normal operators in an AF C*-algebra are norm limits of nilpotent operators, we have the following trivial observation that improves Proposition 4.9 in the case of AF C*-algebras.

Proposition 6.5. *Suppose* $\mathfrak{A} = \overline{\bigcup_{k\geq 1} \mathfrak{A}_k}$ *where* $\mathfrak{A}_1 \overset{\alpha_1}{\to} \mathfrak{A}_2 \overset{\alpha_2}{\to} \mathfrak{A}_3 \overset{\alpha_3}{\to} \cdots$ *is a direct limit of finite dimensional C*-algebras with* α_k *injective for all* $k \in \mathbb{N}$. *If* $\mathfrak{A}_k = \oplus_{j=1}^{m_k} \mathcal{M}_{n_{j,k}}(\mathbb{C})$ *and* $\{n_{j,k}\}_{j,k\geq 1}$ *is a bounded set, then* $Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})} = \{0\}$.

Proof. Suppose $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$ and let $\ell := \sup_{j,k\geq 1} n_{j,k} < \infty$. Therefore $M^\ell = 0$ for all $M \in \bigcup_{k\geq 1} Nil(\mathfrak{A}_k)$ so $N^\ell = 0$ by Proposition 6.1. Hence $N = 0$. □

To see that Proposition 4.9 cannot be generalized to arbitrary quasidiagonal C*-algebras, we note the following result is an easy application of the theory developed in Section 2.

Theorem 6.6. *There exists an AF C*-algebra* $\mathfrak{A}$ *such that* $\mathfrak{A}_+ \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})} \neq \{0\}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{O}_2$ be the Cuntz algebra generated by two isometries. Since $\mathcal{O}_2$ is a separable, nuclear C*-algebra, the cone of $\mathcal{O}_2$, $\mathfrak{C} := C_0((0,1], \mathcal{O}_2)$, is AF-embeddable (see Theorem 8.3.5 of [BO]). Hence there exists an AF C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ such that $\mathfrak{C} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$. Thus it suffices to show $\mathfrak{C}_+ \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{C})} \neq \{0\}$.

Let $A \in (\mathcal{O}_2)_+ \setminus \{0\}$ and let $A' \in \mathfrak{C}_+$ be defined by $A'(x) = Ax$ for all $x \in (0,1]$. Since $A \neq 0$, $A' \neq 0$. Since $A \in \overline{Nil(\mathcal{O}_2)}$ by Theorem 2.8 (or simply Proposition 2.5), it is trivial to verify that $A' \in \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{C})}$ as desired. □

Using Theorem 6.6 and Proposition 6.1, it is easy to obtain the following that enables us to improve Lemma 2.1 by bounding the nilpotency degrees of the approximating nilpotent matrices. Theorem 6.6, Proposition 6.1, and Remarks 6.2 together also imply that Lemma 2.1 holds with the additional property that the distribution of eigenvalues of the sequence A_n is 'not too poorly behaved'.

Corollary 6.7. *There exists an increasing sequence of natural numbers* $(k_n)_{n\geq 1}$ *and a sequence of positive matrices* $A_n \in \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ *of norm one such that for every* $\epsilon > 0$ *there exists an index* $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *and a* $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that*

$$dist(A_n, Nil_\ell(\mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C}))) < \epsilon$$

for all $n \geq m$ *(where* $Nil_\ell(\mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C}))$ *is the set of nilpotent* $k_n \times k_n$*-matrices of nilpotency index at most* ℓ*).*

The main result of this section is Theorem 6.10 which gives an example of a C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ with a faithful tracial state (so $\mathfrak{A}_{sa} \cap \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})} = \{0\}$ by Proposition 5.7; also see Theorem 5.15) such that $Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})} \neq \{0\}$. The main tool in this construction is Lemma 6.9 which is based on 2.3.3 of [He1]. Although we will not need the full power of Lemma 6.9 in this section, Lemma 6.9 will be vital in the next section where we study of the closure of the nilpotent operators in type II$_1$ factors. For completeness we include the statement of the following well-known result.

Theorem 6.8 (Berg's Technique)**.** *Let* $\{e_j\}_{j=0}^n \cup \{f_j\}_{j=0}^n$ *be an orthonormal set. Suppose* $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ *has the property that*

$$Te_j = e_{j+1} \quad \text{and} \quad Tf_j = f_{j+1}$$

for all $j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$*. Then there exists an* $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ *such that*

1. $S\xi = T\xi$ *for all* $\xi \in \left(\{e_j\}_{j=0}^{n-1} \cup \{f_j\}_{j=0}^{n-1}\right)^\perp$,
2. $S(span\{e_j, f_j\}) = span\{e_{j+1}, f_{j+1}\}$ *for all* $j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$,
3. S *is an isometry on* $span\{e_j, f_j\}$ *for all* $j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$,

4. $S^n e_0 = f_n$,
5. $S^n f_0 = e_n$, *and*
6. $\|S - T\| < \frac{\pi}{n}$.

Proof. See Theorem VI.4.1 of [Da]. □

Lemma 6.9. *Let* $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ *with* $m \geq 2$ *and choose* $0 = a_0 < a_1 < a_2 < \ldots < a_m = 1$. *Then there exists an* $M \in Nil(\mathcal{M}_{(2m+1)n+1}(\mathbb{C}))$ *and an* $N \in Nor(\mathcal{M}_{(2m+1)n+1}(\mathbb{C}))$ *such that*

$$\|M - N\| \leq \frac{\pi}{n} + \max_{0 \leq k \leq m-1} |a_{k+1} - a_k|$$

and

$$\sigma(N) = \left\{ a_k e^{\frac{\pi i}{n} j} \mid j \in \{1, \ldots, 2n\}, k \in \{0, \ldots, m\} \right\}$$

with the multiplicity of zero being $n + 1$ *and the multiplicity of every other eigenvalue being one.*

Proof. Let $\{e_k\}_{k=0}^{(2m+1)n}$ be the standard orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^{(2m+1)n+1}$ and define $M \in Nil(\mathcal{M}_{(2m+1)n+1}(\mathbb{C}))$ by $Me_{(2m+1)n} = 0$,

$$M(e_{kn+j}) = a_{k+1} e_{kn+j+1}$$

for all $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$,

$$M(e_{mn+j}) = a_m e_{mn+j+1}$$

for all $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$,

$$M(e_{kn+j}) = a_{2m+1-k} e_{kn+j+1}$$

for all $k \in \{m+1, m+2, \ldots, 2m\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$, and by extending M by linearity. Thus M is a nilpotent weighted forward shift on $\mathbb{C}^{(2m+1)n+1}$ with weights

$$a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{m-1}, a_m, a_m, a_m, a_{m-1}, \ldots, a_2, a_1$$

for consecutive blocks of length n. It is clear that $\|M\| = 1$.

For an arbitrary Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ with orthonormal basis $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{2n}$ let $U_{2n} : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{K}$ be defined by

$$U_{2n}(f_j) = f_{j+1}$$

for all $j \in \{1, 2 \ldots, 2n-1\}$, $U_{2n}(f_{2n}) = f_1$, and by extending U by linearity. It is clear that U_{2n} is a unitary operator with

$$\sigma(U_{2n}) = \left\{ e^{\frac{\pi i}{n} j} \mid j \in \{1, \ldots, 2n\} \right\}$$

with the multiplicity of each eigenvalue being one.

Our goal is to use Berg's Technique to approximate M with a direct sum of multiples of U_{2n}. For each $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 2m-1\}$ let $\mathcal{H}_k := \mathit{span}\{e_{nk+j} \mid j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}\}$. Let $\mathcal{K}_{m-1,m+1} := \mathcal{H}_{m-1} \oplus \mathcal{H}_m \oplus \mathcal{H}_{m+1}$. By Berg's Technique on

$$\{e_{nm-n}, e_{nm-n+1}, \ldots, e_{nm}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{e_{nm+n}, e_{nm+n+1}, \ldots, e_{nm+2n}\},$$

there exists an $S_1 \in \mathcal{M}_{(2m+1)n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\|S_1 - M\| < \frac{\pi}{n}$, $S_1(f) = M(f)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{K}_{m-1,m+1}^{\perp}$,

$$S_1(\mathit{span}\{e_{nm-n+j}, e_{nm+n+j}\}) \subseteq \mathit{span}\{e_{nm-n+j+1}, e_{nm+n+j+1}\}$$

for all $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$, S_1 is an isometry on

$$\mathit{span}\{e_{nm-n}, e_{nm-n+1}, \ldots, e_{nm}, e_{nm+n}, e_{nm+n+1}, \ldots, e_{nm+2n}\}$$

$S_1^n(e_{nm-n}) = e_{nm+2n}$, and $S_1^n(e_{nm+n}) = e_{nm}$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{K}'_{m-1,m+1} := \mathit{span}\{e_{nm+n}, S_1(e_{nm+n}), S_1^2(e_{nm+n}), \ldots, S_1^{n-1}(e_{nm+n})\} \oplus \mathcal{H}_m$$

is an S_1-reducing subspace and S_1 is unitarily equivalent to U_{2n} when restricted to $\mathcal{K}'_{m-1,m+1}$.

Let

$$\mathcal{K}''_{m-1,m+1} := \mathcal{K}_{m-1,m+1} \ominus \mathcal{K}'_{m-1,m+1}.$$

By construction, $e_{nm-n} \in \mathcal{K}''_{m-1,m+1}$, S_1 is a forward shift with weights one on

$$\mathit{span}\{e_{nm-n}, S_1(e_{nm-n}), S_1^2(e_{nm-n}), \ldots, S_1^{n-1}(e_{nm-n})\} = \mathcal{K}''_{m-1,m+1},$$

and $S_1(e_{nm+2n}) = M(e_{nm+2n}) = a_{m-1}e_{nm+2n+1}$. Let M_1 be the operator obtained from S_1 by reducing the weights on $\mathcal{K}''_{m-1,m+1}$ from $1 = a_m$ to a_{m-1} (so $M_1(S_1^{n-1}(e_{nm-n})) = a_{m-1}S_1(S_1^{n-1}(e_{nm-n})) = a_{m-1}e_{nm+2n})$. Hence

$$\|M - M_1\| \leq \frac{\pi}{n} + |a_m - a_{m-1}|.$$

Moreover, by construction, M_1 has $\mathcal{K}'_{m-1,m+1}$ as a reducing subspace such that the restriction of M_1 to $\mathcal{K}'_{m-1,m+1}$ is U_{2n} and the restriction of M_1 to $(\mathcal{K}'_{m-1,m+1})^{\perp}$ is an $((2m-1)n+1) \times ((2m-1)n+1)$ matrix that is a nilpotent, weighted forward shift with weights

$$a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{m-2}, a_{m-1}, a_{m-1}, a_{m-1}, a_{m-2}, \ldots, a_2, a_1$$

for consecutive blocks of length n.

For our next approximation, we will apply Berg's Technique on M_1 in 'an orthogonal way' in order not to disturb the above approximation. Let $\mathcal{K}_{m-2,m+2} := \mathcal{H}_{m-2} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{m+2} \oplus \mathcal{K}''_{m-1,m+1}$. By Berg's Technique on

$$\{e_{nm-2n}, e_{nm-2n+1}, \ldots, e_{nm-n}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{e_{nm+2n}, e_{nm+2n+1}, \ldots, e_{nm+3n}\},$$

there exists an $S_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{(2m+1)n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\|S_2 - M_1\| < \frac{\pi}{n}$, $S_2(f) = M_1(f)$ for all $f \in (\mathcal{H}_{m-2} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{m+2})^\perp$,

$$S_2(span\{e_{nm-2n+j}, e_{nm+2n+j}\}) \subseteq span\{e_{nm-2n+j+1}, e_{nm+2n+j+1}\}$$

for all $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$, S_2 is a_{m-1} times an isometry on

$$span\{e_{nm-2n}, e_{nm-2n+1}, \ldots, e_{nm-2n-1}, e_{nm+2n}, e_{nm+2n+1}, \ldots, e_{nm+2n-1}\},$$

$S_2^n(e_{nm-2n}) = a_{m-1}^n e_{nm+3n}$, and $S_2^n(e_{nm+2n}) = a_{m-1}^n e_{nm-n}$. Therefore the above implies that

$$\mathcal{K}'_{m-2,m+2} := \mathcal{K}''_{m-1,m+1} \oplus span\{e_{nm+n}, S_2(e_{nm+n}), \ldots, S_2^{n-1}(e_{nm+n})\}$$

is a reducing subspace of S_2 such that the restriction of S_2 to this subspace is unitarily equivalent to $a_{m-1}U_{2n}$ and on

$$\mathcal{K}''_{m-2,m+2} := \mathcal{K}_{m-2,m+2} \ominus \mathcal{K}'_{m-2,m+2} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{m-2} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{m+2}$$

S_2 is a forward shift with weights a_{m-1}. By dropping these weights to a_{m-2}, we obtain a matrix M_2 such that

$$\|M_2 - M_1\| \leq \frac{\pi}{n} + |a_{m-1} - a_{m-2}|,$$

M_2 has $\mathcal{K}'_{m-1,m+1}$ as a reducing subspace such that the restriction of M_2 to $\mathcal{K}'_{m-1,m+1}$ is $a_m U_{2n}$, M_2 has $\mathcal{K}'_{m-2,m+2}$ as a reducing subspace such that the restriction of M_2 to $\mathcal{K}'_{m-2,m+2}$ is $a_{m-1}U_{2n}$, and the restriction of M_2 to $(\mathcal{K}'_{m-1,m+1} \oplus \mathcal{K}'_{m-2,m+2})^\perp$ is an $((2m-3)n+1) \times ((2m-3)n+1)$ matrix that is a nilpotent, weighted forward shift with weights

$$a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{m-3}, a_{m-2}, a_{m-2}, a_{m-2}, a_{m-3}, \ldots, a_2, a_1$$

for consecutive blocks of length n (except in the case that $(2m-3)n+1 = n+1$ in which case we have the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ zero matrix). Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \|M - M_2\| &\leq \max\{\|M - M_1\|, \|M_1 - M_2\|\} \\ &\leq \max\left\{\tfrac{\pi}{n} + |a_m - a_{m-1}|, \tfrac{\pi}{n} + |a_{m-1} - a_{m-2}|\right\} \end{aligned}$$

since M and M_1 only differ on $\mathcal{K}_{m-1,m+1}$ and M_1 and M_2 differ only on $\mathcal{H}_{m-2} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{m+2}$ which are orthogonal spaces.

By continuing this process ad nauseum, we eventually obtain that M is within

$$\frac{\pi}{n} + \max_{0 \leq k \leq m-1} |a_{k+1} - a_k|$$

of an operator unitarily equivalent to $\left(\bigoplus_{1 \leq k \leq m} a_k U_{2n}\right) \oplus 0_{n+1}$ where 0_{n+1} is the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ zero matrix. Since $N_0 := \left(\bigoplus_{1 \leq k \leq m} a_k U_{2n}\right) \oplus 0_{n+1}$ is a normal operator with the desired spectrum, the result trivially follows. □

The following result was known to Marcoux and was communicated to the author.

Theorem 6.10 (Marcoux)**.** *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a non-finite UHF C*-algebra. There exists an $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})}$ such that $\sigma(N)$ is the closed unit disk.*

Proof. Write $\mathfrak{A} = \overline{\bigcup_{k\geq 1} \mathcal{M}_{\ell_k}(\mathbb{C})}$ where $\mathcal{M}_{\ell_1}(\mathbb{C}) \overset{\alpha_1}{\to} \mathcal{M}_{\ell_2}(\mathbb{C}) \overset{\alpha_2}{\to} \mathcal{M}_{\ell_3}(\mathbb{C}) \overset{\alpha_3}{\to} \cdots$ is a direct limit of full matrix algebras with α_k injective for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover we can assume that $\frac{\ell_{k+1}}{\ell_k}$ is composite for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\ell_1 \geq 7$.

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we will construct $n_k, m_k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q_k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that $m_1, n_1 \geq 2$, $(2m_k + 1)n_k + 1 + q_k = \ell_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $2m_k \leq m_{k+1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $2n_k \leq n_{k+1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and, if $N_k \in Nor(\mathcal{M}_{(2m_k+1)n_k+1+q_k}(\mathbb{C}))$ is a specific unitary conjugate of the normal matrix obtain by taking the direct sum of the $q_k \times q_k$ zero matrix with the normal matrix from Lemma 6.9 with $n = n_k$, $m = m_k$, and $a_j = \frac{j}{m_k}$ for all $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m_k\}$ then $(N_k)_{k\geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathfrak{A}$.

If such a sequence exists then, since adding a zero direct summand at most decreases the distance to the nilpotents and since $\lim_{k\to\infty} m_k = \infty$ and $\lim_{k\to\infty} n_k = \infty$, Lemma 6.9 implies

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} dist(N_k, Nil(\mathcal{M}_{\ell_k}(\mathbb{C}))) = 0.$$

Thus, if $N = \lim_{k\to\infty} N_k$ then $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})}$ by construction. Since $\|N_k\| \leq 1$, $\|N\| \leq 1$. Since $\lim_{k\to\infty} m_k = \infty$ and $\lim_{k\to\infty} n_k = \infty$, Lemma 6.9 implies the intersection of $\sigma(N_k)$ with any open subset of the closed unit ball is non-empty for sufficiently large k. This implies $\sigma(N)$ is the closed unit disk by the semicontinuity of the spectrum.

To show the claim is true, let $m_2 = 2$ and select $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n_1 \geq 2$ and $q_1 \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ such that $\ell_1 = (2m_1+1)n_1+1+q_1$. Let N_1 be as described above.

Suppose we have performed the construction for some fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\frac{\ell_{k+1}}{\ell_k}$ is composite, we may write $\frac{\ell_{k+1}}{\ell_k} = pz$ where $p, z \geq 2$. Then, when we view N_k as an element of $\mathcal{M}_{n_{k+1}}(\mathbb{C})$, each eigenvalue of N_k has pz times the multiplicity it did in $\mathcal{M}_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$. Let $n_{k+1} := pn_k \geq 2n_k$ and $m_{k+1} := zm_k \geq 2m_k$. Then

$$(2m_{k+1} + 1)n_{k+1} + 1 = \ell_{k+1} - ((z-1)pn_k + pz - 1).$$

Thus let $q_{k+1} := ((z-1)pn_k + pz - 1) \geq 0$ so

$$(2m_{k+1} + 1)n_{k+1} + 1 + q_{k+1} = \ell_{k+1}.$$

If N'_{k+1} is the normal matrix obtain by taking the direct sum of the $q_k \times q_k$ zero matrix with the normal matrix from Lemma 6.9 with $n = n_{k+1}$, $m = m_{k+1}$, and $a_j = \frac{j}{m_{k+1}}$ for all $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m_{k+1}\}$, then, by construction, we can pair the eigenvalues of N_k (including multiplicity) when viewed an element of $\mathcal{M}_{n_{k+1}}(\mathbb{C})$ with the eigenvalues of N'_{k+1} in a bijective way such that the difference of any pair is at most $\frac{\pi}{n_k} + \frac{1}{m_k}$ by our knowledge of the eigenvalues from Lemma 6.9.

Thus there exists a unitary conjugate N_{k+1} of N'_{k+1} that is within $\frac{\pi}{n_k} + \frac{1}{m_k}$ of the image of N_k in $\mathcal{M}_{\ell_{k+1}}(\mathbb{C})$. Since $2m_k \leq m_{k+1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $2n_k \leq n_{k+1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, this implies $(N_k)_{k\geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathfrak{A}$ as desired. ☐

To complete this section we will demonstrate how complex analysis may be used to construct additional non-zero normal operators in the closure of nilpotent operators. We begin with the following trivial observation.

Lemma 6.11. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a C*-algebra and let $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})}$ be such that $\sigma(N) = \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. If $f : \overline{\mathbb{D}} \to \mathbb{C}$ is continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, holomorphic on $\mathbb{D}$, and $f(0) = 0$, then $f(N) \in Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})}$ and $\sigma(f(N)) = f(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$.*

The same holds with $\overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})}$ replaced with $\overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$.

Proof. By Mergelyan's Theorem (Theorem 5.8), f is the uniform limit of polynomials on $\mathbb{D}$ that vanish at zero. Hence $f(N) \in Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})}$ by Lemma 5.1. ☐

Note that the following result holds for all UHF C*-algebras by Theorem 6.10.

Theorem 6.12. *Let Ω be a non-empty, open, connected and simply connected subset of $\mathbb{C}$ containing zero such that $\partial\Omega$ contains at least two points and is a Jordan curve. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a C*-algebra and let $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})}$ be such that $\sigma(N) = \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Then there exists an operator $N_0 \in Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})}$ with $\sigma(N_0) = \overline{\Omega}$.*

The same holds with $\overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})}$ replaced with $\overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$.

Proof. Let $f : \mathbb{D} \to \Omega$ be the biholomorphism given by the Riemann mapping. By Carathéodory's Theorem f extends to a function $g : \overline{\mathbb{D}} \to \overline{\Omega}$ such that g is continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, g is holomorphic on $\mathbb{D}$, and g is a bijection. Since $0 \in \Omega$, $0 \notin \partial\Omega$ and thus there exists an $a \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $g(a) = 0$. Let $h(z) = \frac{z+a}{\overline{a}z+1}$. Then h is a homeomorphism of the closed unit disk and is a biholomorphism of the open unit disk as $|a| < 1$. Let $F : \overline{\mathbb{D}} \to \overline{\Omega}$ be defined by $F(z) = g(h(z))$. Then F is well-defined, F is continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, F is holomorphic on $\mathbb{D}$, F is surjective, and $F(0) = g(h(0)) = g(a) = 0$. Hence, by Lemma 6.11, $N_0 = F(N) \in Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})}$ is such that $\sigma(N_0) = F(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) = \overline{\Omega}$. ☐

7 Type II Factors

In this section we will examine when a normal operator in a type II factor is the limit of nilpotent operators. As the classification for type II factors is incomplete, we will only briefly examine general type II von Neumann algebras. In the case of type II_1 factors, the tracial state restrictions from Section 5 apply (see Remarks 7.2). However Lemma 6.9 provides a method for constructing elements of $Nor(\mathfrak{M}) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M})}$ for an arbitrary II_1 factor $\mathfrak{M}$ (see Theorem 7.4). In the case of type II_∞ factors, there is an 'essential spectrum' condition (see Remarks 7.5) that was unnecessary in the study of this problem for $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

We begin by applying the results of Section 5 to type II_1 von Neumann algebras.

Remarks 7.1. If $\mathfrak{M}$ is a type II_1 von Neumann algebra then $\mathfrak{M}$ has a separating family of tracial states. Therefore Corollary 5.9 applies. Moreover Theorem 5.15 applies in the case $\mathfrak{M}$ is a type II_1 factor. Thus, as every type II_1 von Neumann algebra is the direct integral of type II_1 factors, if $N = \int_X^\oplus N_x \, d\mu$ is a normal operator in a type II_1 von Neumann algebra that is a norm limit of nilpotent operators then $\sigma(N_x)$ cannot satisfy the conditions of Theorem 5.15 on a set of positive μ-measure.

In the case that $\mathfrak{M}$ is a type II_1 factor, the faithful tracial state on $\mathfrak{M}$ is an essential tool in the study of $Nor(\mathfrak{M}) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M})}$.

Remarks 7.2. Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a type II_1 factor and let τ be the faithful, normal, tracial state on $\mathfrak{M}$. Remarks 5.10 imply that for each $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{M})$ there exists a probability measure μ_N with support $\sigma(N)$ defined by τ. We will call μ_N the spectral distribution of N. Note two normal operators $N_1, N_2 \in \mathfrak{M}$ are approximately unitarily equivalent in $\mathfrak{M}$ if and only if $\mu_{N_1} = \mu_{N_2}$ (see [Sh]). Since the question of when a normal operator is in $\overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M})}$ is clearly invariant under approximate unitary equivalence, the elements N of $Nor(\mathfrak{M}) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M})}$ can be completely classified based on μ_N.

Due to the existence and structure of projections in a type II_1 factor, it should be significantly simpler to classify $Nor(\mathfrak{M}) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M})}$ for a type II_1 factor then it is for an arbitrary C*-algebra with a faithful tracial state. In particular, it is hopeful that if $\mathfrak{M}$ is a type II_1 factor and $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{M})$ is such that μ_N satisfies the conditions as outlined at the end of Remarks 5.10 then $N \in \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M})}$. A proof of this would seem to require significant knowledge pertaining to $dist(N, Nil(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})))$ for an arbitrary matrix $N \in Nor(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}))$.

Our next goal is to demonstrate several measures μ_N as described in Remarks 7.2 such that $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{M}) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M})}$. These measures will make full use of Lemma 6.9.

Lemma 7.3. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a II_1 factor, let τ be the faithful, normal, tracial state on $\mathfrak{M}$, and let $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{M})$ be such that $\sigma(N) = \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Suppose there exists an increasing, unbounded sequence of natural numbers $(n_k)_{k\geq 1}$ and real numbers $0 = a_{0,k} < a_{1,k} < a_{2,k} < \cdots < a_{n_k+1,k} = 1$ such that*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \max_{0\leq p\leq n_k} |a_{p+1,k} - a_{p,k}| = 0$$

and if $E_{k,p,q}$ is the spectral projection of N onto

$$\left\{ z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} \mid z = re^{i\theta}, a_{p,k} < r \leq a_{p+1,k}, \frac{q\pi}{n_k} < \theta \leq \pi\frac{(q+1)\pi}{n_k} \right\}$$

for all $q \in \{1, \ldots, 2n_k\}$ and $p \in \{1, \ldots, n_k\}$ and $E_{k,0}$ is the spectral projection onto the closed disk of radius $a_{1,k}$ centred at zero then

$$\tau(E_{k,0}) = \frac{n_k + 1}{2n_k^2 + n_k + 1} \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \tau\left(E_{k,p,q}\right) = \frac{1}{2n_k^2 + n_k + 1}$$

for all $q \in \{1, \ldots, 2n_k\}$ *and for all* $p \in \{1, \ldots, n_k\}$*. Then* N *is a norm limit of nilpotent operators from* $\mathfrak{M}$*.*

Proof. The idea of the proof is to use these projections to create a copy of $\mathcal{M}_{2n_k^2+n_k+1}(\mathbb{C})$ inside $\mathfrak{M}$ in order to apply Lemma 6.9.

Suppose N has the above conditions. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$N_k := 0E_{k,0} + \sum_{q=1}^{2n_k} \sum_{p=1}^{n_k} a_{p,k} e^{\frac{\pi i}{n_k} q} E_{k,p,q}.$$

Then $N_k \in Nor(\mathfrak{M})$ is such that the norm of $N_k - N$ is at most the maximum of $a_{1,k}$ and

$$\max_{1 \leq p \leq n_k} \mathit{diameter}\left(\text{wedge of radii } a_{p,k} \text{ and } a_{p+1,k} \text{ with a circular angle of } \frac{\pi}{n_k}\right)$$

by the Spectral Theorem for Normal Operators. Since $\lim_{k\to\infty} n_k = \infty$ and

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \max_{0\leq p\leq n_k} |a_{p+1,k} - a_{p,k}| = 0,$$

$N = \lim_{k\to\infty} N_k$. Thus it suffices to show $\lim_{k\to\infty} dist(N_k, Nil(\mathfrak{M})) = 0$.

Since

$$\tau(E_{k,0}) = \frac{n_k + 1}{2n_k^2 + n_k + 1},$$

by the theory of II_1 factors there exists a collection

$$\{E_{k,0,p} \mid p \in \{0, \ldots, n_k\}\}$$

of mutually orthogonal, equivalent projections that sum to $E_{k,0}$ each with trace $\frac{1}{2n_k^2+n_k+1}$. Hence, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the tracial conditions given in the hypotheses and the properties of II_1 factors imply that

$$\{E_{k,0,p} \mid p \in \{0, \ldots, n_k\}\} \cup \{E_{k,p,q} \mid q \in \{1, \ldots, 2n_k\}, p \in \{1, \ldots, n_k\}\}$$

are mutually orthogonal, equivalent projections that sum to $I_{\mathfrak{M}}$. Thus, using the partial isometries between these equivalent projections as matrix units, we can construct a copy of $\mathcal{M}_{2n_k^2+n_k+1}(\mathbb{C})$ such that N_k can be viewed as a normal operator of $\mathcal{M}_{2n_k^2+n_k+1}(\mathbb{C})$ with

$$\sigma(N_k) = \left\{a_{p,k} e^{\frac{\pi i}{n_k} q} \mid q \in \{1, \ldots, 2n_k\}, p \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n_k\}\right\}$$

where the multiplicity of each non-zero eigenvalue is one. Thus Lemma 6.9 implies N_k is within $\max_{0\leq p\leq n_k-1} |a_{p+1,k} - a_{p,k}|$ of an element of $Nil(\mathfrak{M})$. By the assumption that $\lim_{k\to\infty} \max_{0\leq p\leq n_k} |a_{p+1,k} - a_{p,k}| = 0$, the result follows. ☐

Theorem 7.4. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a II_1 factor, let τ be the faithful, normal, tracial state on $\mathfrak{M}$, and let $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{M})$ be such that $\sigma(N) = \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Let μ_N be the spectral distribution of N. Suppose*

$$\mu_N(X) = \int_X f(r)(r dr d\theta)$$

for all $X \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ where $r dr d\theta$ is the two-dimensional Lebesgue measure and $rf(r) \in L_1([0,1])$ with $f > 0$ almost everywhere with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Then $N \in \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M})}$.

Proof. The proof simply comes down to constructing the spectral projections satisfying the hypotheses of Lemma 7.3. The construction of the $0 = a_{0,n} < a_{1,n} < \cdots < a_{n+1,n} = 1$ at the n^{th} step is done by choosing $a_{j+1,n}$ such that

$$\frac{n+1}{2n^2+n+1} + \frac{2jn}{2n^2+n+1} = 2\pi \int_0^{a_{j+1,n}} rf(r)dr.$$

Since $F(x) = 2\pi \int_0^x rf(r)dr$ is an absolutely continuous, strictly increasing bijection from $[0,1]$ to $[0,1]$ by assumption, F^{-1} exists and is a strictly increasing continuous bijection from $[0,1]$ to $[0,1]$ such that

$$a_{j+1,n} = F^{-1}\left(\frac{n+1}{2n^2+n+1} + \frac{2jn}{2n^2+n+1}\right).$$

Thus $\lim_{n\to\infty} \max_{1\leq j\leq n-1} |a_{j+1,n} - a_{j,n}| = 0$ as required. ☐

Theorem 6.12 can be used to modify the spectral distributions in Theorem 7.4 to obtain more elements of $Nor(\mathfrak{M}) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M})}$ for a type II_1 factor $\mathfrak{M}$. Of course there is still a large gap between these measures and the tracial restrictions given in Remarks 7.2.

To conclude our discussion of the closure of the nilpotent operators in a type II_1 factor, we remark that Lemma 3.1 of [DS] gives a complete description of the nilpotent operators in a type II_1 factor. It is possible that this description may be of use in determining the intersection of the normal operators and the closure of nilpotent operators for type II_1 factors.

Next we turn our attention to type II_∞ factors. Since type II_∞ factors contain infinite projections and do not have separating families of tracial states, it is easier for normal operators to be norm limits of nilpotent operators. However there is an additional restriction that must be considered. This restriction has the flavour of the restrictions in Section 4.

Remarks 7.5. Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a type II_∞ factor. Then there exists a type II_1 factor $\mathfrak{N}$ such that $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{N}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Let $\mathfrak{M}_0 := \mathfrak{N} \otimes_{\min} \mathfrak{K}$. Then $\mathfrak{M}_0$ can be viewed as an ideal of $\mathfrak{M}$ (that is not weak*-closed). Let $q : \mathfrak{M} \to \mathfrak{M}/\mathfrak{M}_0$ be the canonical quotient map. For each $T \in \mathfrak{M}$ let $\sigma_e(T) := \sigma(q(T))$. We will call $\sigma_e(T)$ the essential spectrum of $T \in \mathfrak{M}$. Alternatively, $\mathfrak{M}_0$ can be shown to be the ideal generated by operators supported on finite projections and thus the essential spectrum does not depend on the decomposition of $\mathfrak{M}$ chosen.

If $T \in \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M})}$ then $q(T) \in \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M}/\mathfrak{M}_0)}$. Hence $\sigma_e(T)$ must be connected and contain zero by Lemma 1.3. This additional condition is unnecessary for $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ as the spectrum and essential spectrum of an $N \in Nor(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ agree when $\sigma(N)$ is connected.

Let τ be an unbounded trace on $\mathfrak{M}$ such that $\tau(T \otimes P) = \tau'(T)$ for all $T \in \mathfrak{N}$ where τ' is the faithful, normal, tracial state on $\mathfrak{N}$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a rank one projection. As in Remarks 7.2, for each $N \in \mathfrak{M}$, τ gives rise to a positive measure μ_N with support $\sigma(N)$ and $N_1, N_2 \in \mathfrak{M}$ are approximately unitarily equivalent in $\mathfrak{M}$ if and only if $\mu_{N_1} = \mu_{N_2}$ (see [Sh]). Thus the elements N of $Nor(\mathfrak{M}) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M})}$ can be completely classified based on μ_N. Moreover, note $\lambda \in \sigma_e(N)$ if and only if

$$\mu_N(\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z - \lambda| < \epsilon\}) = \infty$$

for all $\epsilon > 0$. Thus the measure μ_N captures the information about $\sigma_e(N)$.

To demonstrate that every type II_∞ factor has several normal operators in the closure of the nilpotent operators, we first examine normal operators where every non-zero spectral projection is infinite.

Theorem 7.6. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra and let $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{M})$ be such that $\sigma(N)$ is connected and contains zero. Suppose further that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a finite number of disjoint Borel sets $\{E_{k,\epsilon}\}_{k=1}^{n_\epsilon}$ such that $\sigma(N) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n_\epsilon} E_{k,\epsilon}$, $diam(E_{k,\epsilon}) < \epsilon$, and if $P_{k,\epsilon} := \chi_{E_{k,\epsilon}}(N)$ then $\{P_{k,\epsilon}\}_{k=1}^{n_\epsilon}$ are equivalent, properly infinite projections. Then $N \in \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M})}$.*

Proof. Let N be as described above and fix $\epsilon > 0$. Fix $a_k \in E_{k,\epsilon}$ such that $a_k = 0$ for the unique $k \in \{1, \dots, n_\epsilon\}$ where $0 \in E_{k,\epsilon}$ and let $T_\epsilon := \sum_{k=1}^{n_\epsilon} a_k P_{k,\epsilon}$. Then $\|T_\epsilon - N\| \leq \epsilon$ and $T_\epsilon \in Nor(\mathfrak{M})$.

Since $P_{1,\epsilon}$ is properly infinite, there exists mutually orthogonal, equivalent projections $\{P_{1,\epsilon,\ell}\}_{\ell \geq 1}$ such that

$$P_{1,\epsilon} = \sum_{\ell \geq 1} P_{1,\epsilon,\ell}.$$

Since $\{P_{k,\epsilon}\}_{k=1}^{n_\epsilon}$ are equivalent, mutually orthogonal projections, there exists mutually orthogonal, equivalent projections $\{\{P_{k,\epsilon,\ell}\}_{\ell\geq 1}\}_{k=1}^{n_\epsilon}$ such that

$$P_{k,\epsilon} = \sum_{\ell \geq 1} P_{k,\epsilon,\ell}$$

for all $k \in \{1, \dots, n_\epsilon\}$.

Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ be a copy of the bounded linear maps on a separable Hilbert space generated by the partial isometries implementing the equivalences of $\{\{P_{k,\epsilon,\ell}\}_{\ell\geq 1}\}_{k=1}^{n_\epsilon}$ inside of $\mathfrak{M}$. Thus T_ϵ can be viewed as normal element of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ with spectrum and essential spectrum equal to $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^{n_\epsilon}$. Since $\sigma(N)$ is connected and $diam(E_{k,\epsilon}) < \epsilon$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, n_\epsilon\}$, Lemma 3.2 implies T_ϵ is within 3ϵ of an element of $Nil(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})) \subseteq Nil(\mathfrak{M})$. Hence $dist(N, Nil(\mathfrak{M})) \leq 4\epsilon$. ☐

Corollary 7.7. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a type II_∞ factor and let $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{M})$ be such that $\sigma(N)$ is connected and contains zero. If $\sigma_e(N) = \sigma(N)$ then $N \in \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M})}$.*

Proof. Since $\sigma_e(N) = \sigma(N)$, every non-zero spectral projection of N is an infinite projection in $\mathfrak{M}$. Since $\mathfrak{M}$ is a type II_∞ factor, every infinite projection is properly infinite and any two infinite projections are equivalent. Thus the result follows from Theorem 7.6. $\square$

To complete this section we have the following result that uses the spectrum and essential spectrum along with the known results for type II_1 factors to show certain normal operators in a type II_∞ factor are in the closure of the nilpotent operators.

Proposition 7.8. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a II_∞ factor and write $\mathfrak{M} \simeq \mathfrak{N}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ where $\mathfrak{N}$ is a II_1 factor. Let τ be an unbounded trace on $\mathfrak{M}$ such that $\tau(T \otimes P) = \tau'(T)$ for all $T \in \mathfrak{N}$ where τ' is the faithful, normal, tracial state on $\mathfrak{N}$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a rank one projection.*

Let $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{M})$ be such that $\sigma(N)$ and $\sigma_e(N)$ are both connected and contain zero. Suppose further there exists an $N_0 \in Nor(\mathfrak{N}) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{N})}$ and a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sigma(N_0) = \sigma(N)$ and $k\tau'(\chi_X(N_0)) = \tau(\chi_X(N))$ whenever $X \subseteq \sigma(N) \setminus \sigma_e(N)$ is Borel. Then $N \in \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M})}$.

Proof. There exists an $N_1 \in Nor(\mathfrak{N})$ such that $\sigma(N_1) = \sigma_e(N)$. Let $Q \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a rank k projection and consider $T := N_0 \otimes Q + N_1 \otimes (I_\mathcal{H} - Q)$. Then $T \in Nor(\mathfrak{M})$ has the same spectral distribution as N so T and N are approximately unitarily equivalent in $\mathfrak{M}$.

Note $\mathfrak{N}\overline{\otimes}(I_\mathcal{H} - Q)\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})(I_\mathcal{H} - Q)$ is a type II_∞ factor and

$$N_1 \otimes (I_\mathcal{H} - Q) \in Nor(\mathfrak{N}\overline{\otimes}(I_\mathcal{H} - Q)\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})(I_\mathcal{H} - Q))$$

satisfies the hypotheses of Corollary 7.7. Therefore

$$N_1 \otimes (I_\mathcal{H} - Q) \in \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{N}\overline{\otimes}(I_\mathcal{H} - Q)\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})(I_\mathcal{H} - Q))}.$$

Since $N_0 \in \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{N})}$ by assumption and the direct sum of two nilpotent operators is a nilpotent operator, $T \in \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M})}$. Hence $N \in \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M})}$. $\square$

Remarks 7.9. Unfortunately Proposition 7.8 requires the normal operator N_0 to be a limit of nilpotent operators from $\mathfrak{N}$. Since type II_1 factors have no self-adjoint operators in the closure of the nilpotent operators, Proposition 7.8 does not enable us to classify $\mathfrak{M}_{sa} \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M})}$ for a type II_∞ factor. For example, using the notation of Proposition 7.8, let $N_0 \in \mathfrak{N}_+$ have the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$ as its spectral distribution. Then $N_0 \notin \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{N})}$ yet, if $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a rank one projection, it might be possible that $N_0 \otimes P \in \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M})}$.

One way to view this problem for this particular N_0 is as follows. From Example 6.3 we know

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} dist\left(diag\left(\frac{1}{2^n}, \frac{2}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{2^n-1}{2^n}, 1\right), Nil(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}))\right) > 0$$

so it is not possible to use spectral projections in $\mathfrak{N}$ to approximate N_0 with nilpotent matrices of this form. However, in $\mathfrak{M}$, the spectral projection of $N_0 \otimes P$ corresponding to $\{0\}$ is infinite. This allows us to add an infinite number of zero eigenvalues to any matrix in a matrix approximation of $N_0 \otimes P$ inside $\mathfrak{M}$. In particular, if

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \liminf_{k\to\infty} dist\left(diag\left(\frac{1}{2^n}, \frac{2}{2^n}, \ldots, \frac{2^n-1}{2^n}, 1\right) \oplus 0_k, Nil(\mathcal{M}_{n+k}(\mathbb{C}))\right) = 0$$

where 0_k is the $k \times k$ zero matrix, it would be easy to conclude that $N_0 \otimes P \in \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M})}$.

In fact, this limiting question is intrinsically related to the distance from a normal operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with finite spectrum to $Nil(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$.

Proposition 7.10. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $\{e_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}$ and define $D \in Nor(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ by $De_j = a_j e_j$ if $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $De_j = 0$ otherwise. Then*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} dist\left(diag\left(a_1, \ldots, a_n\right) \oplus 0_k, Nil(\mathcal{M}_{n+k}(\mathbb{C}))\right) = dist(D, \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(\mathbb{N}))).$$

Proof. It is clear that $dist\left(diag\left(a_1, \ldots, a_n\right) \oplus 0_k, Nil(\mathcal{M}_{n+k}(\mathbb{C}))\right)$ decreases as k increases so the limit exists. Moreover

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} dist\left(diag\left(a_1, \ldots, a_n\right) \oplus 0_k, Nil(\mathcal{M}_{n+k}(\mathbb{C}))\right) \geq dist(D, \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(\mathbb{N})))$$

by considering the direct sum of nilpotent matrices with a zero operator.

Let $M \in Nil(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ be arbitrary. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $M^m = 0$, let $\mathcal{L} := span\{e_j \mid j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\}$, and let

$$\mathcal{K} = span\{\mathcal{L}, M(\mathcal{L}), \ldots, M^{m-1}(\mathcal{L})\}.$$

Clearly $\mathcal{K}$ is a finite dimensional Hilbert space containing $\mathcal{L}$ that is invariant under M. Choose $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that $dim(\mathcal{K}) = k + n = k + dim(\mathcal{L})$. With respect to the decomposition $\mathcal{K} \oplus \mathcal{K}^\perp$ of $\mathcal{H}$, write

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } M = \begin{bmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \\ 0 & M_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By construction T can be viewed as an $(k+n) \times (k+n)$ matrix that is unitarily equivalent to $diag\left(a_1, \ldots, a_n\right) \oplus 0_k$. Moreover M_1 can be viewed as an $(k+n) \times (k+n)$ nilpotent matrix as M is nilpotent. Therefore

$$dist\left(diag\left(a_1, \ldots, a_n\right) \oplus 0_k, Nil(\mathcal{M}_{n+k}(\mathbb{C}))\right) \leq \|T - M_1\| \leq \|D - M\|$$

so the result follows. ☐

Some work towards evaluating the distance between a normal operator N of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with finite spectrum and $Nil(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ has been performed. One example of this is Lemma 3.2 which investigates the above distance when the spectrum and essential spectrum of N agree. Another example is Theorem 2.3a of [Sa] which gives a bound for $dist(N, Nil(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})))$ based on the spectrum and essential spectrum of N. Unfortunately the bound from [Sa] does not appear to be tight in this setting.

Alternatively, looking at the limiting property, Theorem 2.12 of [He1] (originally in section 7 of [He4]) shows

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} dist(P_n, Nil(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}))) = \frac{1}{2}$$

where $P_n \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is an arbitrary rank one projection. Moreover, in [Ma1] and [Ma2], a tight upper bound for $dist(P_n, Nil(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})))$ has been obtained and examples have been given that obtain this bounded for small n.

Note Lemma 2.2 and Corollary 5.4 are the only two obvious lower bounds for $dist(A, Nil(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})))$ for an positive matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ of norm one. This provides some support to the following conjecture.

Conjecture 7.11. *There exists a continuous function $f : [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$ such that $f(0,0) = 0$ and, if $A \in \mathcal{M}_{k+1}(\mathbb{C})$ is a positive matrix of norm one with $\sigma(A) = \{0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_k = 1\}$, then*

$$dist(A, Nil(\mathcal{M}_{k+1}(\mathbb{C}))) \leq f\left(\tau_{k+1}(A), \max_{1\leq i\leq k} |\lambda_i - \lambda_{i-1}|\right)$$

where τ_{k+1} is the tracial state on $\mathcal{M}_{k+1}(\mathbb{C})$.

If the above conjecture holds, it would be a simple argument to show that if $\mathfrak{M}$ is a type II_∞ factor and if $A \in \mathfrak{M}_{sa}$, then $A \in \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M})}$ if and only if $\sigma(A)$ and $\sigma_e(A)$ are connected and contain zero. It would then be possible to use some elementary mapping arguments to show that if $\mathfrak{M}$ is a type II_∞ factor and if $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{M})$, then $N \in \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M})}$ if and only if $\sigma(N)$ and $\sigma_e(N)$ are connected and contain zero.

8 C*-Algebras with Dense Subalgebras of Nilpotents

In [Re], Read gave an example of a separable C*-algebra that contains a dense subalgebra consisting entirely of nilpotent operators. In this section we will use Lemma 2.1 and the construction in [Re] to construct an approximately homogeneous (and thus separable, nuclear, and quasidiagonal) C*-algebra that contains dense subalgebra consisting entirely of nilpotent operators. It will also be demonstrated that there exists an AF C*-algebra with a C*-subalgebra $\mathfrak{D}$ where $\mathfrak{D} = \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{D})}$.

Construction 8.1. By Lemma 2.1 there exists finite dimensional Hilbert spaces $\{\mathcal{H}_n\}_{n\geq 1}$, positive matrices $A_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n)$ of norm one, and nilpotent matrices

$M_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n)$ such that $\sum_{n\geq 1} \|A_n - M_n\| < \infty$. Since each A_n is a positive matrix with norm one, there exists unit vectors $\xi_n \in \mathcal{H}_n$ such that $A_n\xi_n = \xi_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We will use $\{\mathcal{H}_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ and $\{\xi_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ to generalize Read's construction. Consider the sequence of pointed Hilbert spaces $(\mathcal{H}_n, \xi_n)$. For each $n < m$ define $\phi_{n,m} : \otimes_{k=1}^n \mathcal{H}_k \to \otimes_{k=1}^m \mathcal{H}_k$ such that

$$\phi_{n,m}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_n) = \eta_1 \otimes \eta_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_n \otimes \xi_{n+1} \otimes \xi_{n+2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_m.$$

Let $\mathcal{K} := \otimes_{k=1}^\infty \mathcal{H}_k$ be the completion of the direct limit of the nested sequence of Hilbert spaces $\otimes_{k=1}^n \mathcal{H}_k$ with the connecting maps $\phi_{n,m}$. Since each $\mathcal{H}_k$ is separable, each $\otimes_{k=1}^n \mathcal{H}_k$ is separable and thus $\mathcal{K}$ is separable. Let $\phi_n : \otimes_{k=1}^n \mathcal{H}_k \to \mathcal{K}$ be the natural inclusion.

We will maintain the above notation throughout the rest of this section.

The following are new versions of Lemma 0.3 and Corollary 0.4 of [Re] that will serve our purposes. We omit the proofs as they follow as in [Re].

Lemma 8.2. *Let $(S_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence of operators with $S_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n)$ such that*

$$C := \prod_{n\geq 1} \max\{\|S_n\|, 1\} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n\geq 1} \|S_n\xi_n - \xi_n\| < \infty.$$

Then there exists a unique operator $S' \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ such that $S'(\phi_n\zeta) = S'_n\zeta$ for each $\zeta \in \otimes_{k=1}^n \mathcal{H}_k$ where $S'_n := \lim_{m\to\infty} S'_{n,m}$ where, for each $m > n$, $S'_{n,m} : \otimes_{k=1}^n \mathcal{H}_k \to \mathcal{K}$ is defined by

$$S'_{n,m} = \phi_m \circ (\otimes_{i=1}^m S_i) \circ \phi_{n,m}.$$

We will use $\otimes_{n=1}^\infty S_n$ to denote S'.

Corollary 8.3. *Let $S' = \otimes_{n=1}^\infty S_n$ and $R' = \otimes_{n=1}^\infty R_n$ be elements of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ as constructed in Lemma 8.2. Then*

$$\|S' - R'\| \leq C_S C_R \sum_{n\geq 1} \|S_n - R_n\|$$

where

$$C_S := \prod_{n\geq 1} \max\{1, \|S_n\|\} \quad \text{and} \quad C_R := \prod_{n\geq 1} \max\{1, \|R_n\|\}.$$

Construction 8.4. Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be the C*-algebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ generated by all operators of the form $\otimes_{n=1}^\infty S_n$ given by Lemma 8.2. Let $\mathcal{E}_A$ be subset of $\mathfrak{B}$ containing all operators of the form $\otimes_{n=1}^\infty S_n$ from Lemma 8.2 such that there exist a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $S_n = A_n$ for each $n \geq k$. Since $\sum_{n\geq 1} \|A_n\xi_n - \xi_n\| = 0$ and $\|A_n\| = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{E}_A$ is non-empty. Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be the C*-algebra generated by $\mathcal{E}_A$. Note that $\mathcal{E}_A$ is a self-adjoint set so $\mathfrak{C}$ is the closure of the algebra generated by $\mathcal{E}_A$.

Lemma 8.5. *The C*-algebra $\mathfrak{C}$ from Construction 8.4 is nuclear, quasidiagonal, approximately homogeneous, and separable.*

Proof. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\mathfrak{C}_k$ be the C*-subalgebra of $\mathfrak{C}$ generated by all operators of the form $\otimes_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ from Lemma 8.2 such that $S_n = A_n$ for all $n > k$. Then $\mathfrak{C}_k$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1) \otimes_{\min} \cdots \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_k) \otimes_{\min} \mathfrak{A}_{k+1}$ where $\mathfrak{A}_{k+1}$ is the abelian C*-algebra generated by the infinite tensor $\otimes_{n=k+1}^{\infty} S_n$ where $S_n = A_n$ for all $n > k$. Since $\mathfrak{C}$ is the inductive limit of the $\mathfrak{C}_k$'s, the result follows. ☐

Theorem 8.6. *The C*-algebra $\mathfrak{C}$ from Construction 8.4 has a dense subalgebra $\mathfrak{N}$ such that every operator of $\mathfrak{N}$ is nilpotent.*

Proof. This proof is nearly identical to Theorem 1.2 of [Re] where the only changes are our simple modifications. Let $\mathcal{E}_N$ be the subset of $\mathfrak{B}$ consisting of all operators of the form $\otimes_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ from Lemma 8.2 such that there exist a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $S_n = M_n$ for all $n \geq k$. Let $\mathfrak{N}$ be the (not necessarily closed nor self-adjoint) subalgebra of $\mathfrak{B}$ generated by $\mathcal{E}_N$. It suffices to show three things: 1) $\mathfrak{N} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}$; 2) $\mathfrak{N}$ is dense in $\mathfrak{C}$; 3) every operator of $\mathfrak{N}$ is nilpotent.

Proof of 1): It suffices to show that $\mathcal{E}_N \subseteq \mathfrak{C}$. To begin we will show that $\mathfrak{N}$ is not empty. Suppose that $(S_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is a sequence of operators where $S_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $S_n = M_n$ for all $n \geq k$ for some fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\|A_n\| = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sum_{n\geq 1} \|M_n - A_n\| < \infty$, $\sum_{n\geq 1} |\,\|S_n\| - 1| < \infty$ and so $\prod_{n\geq 1} \max\{1, \|S_n\|\} < \infty$. Moreover, since $\sum_{n\geq 1} \|A_n\xi_n - \xi_n\| = 0$,

$$\sum_{n\geq k} \|S_n\xi_n - \xi_n\| \leq \sum_{n\geq k} \|M_n - A_n\| + \sum_{n\geq k} \|A_n\xi_n - \xi_n\| < \infty.$$

Hence Lemma 8.2 implies that the operator $\otimes_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ exists. Hence $\mathfrak{N}$ is not empty.

Fix a sequence $(S_n)_{n\geq 1}$ of operators where $S_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $S_n = M_n$ for all $n \geq k$. For each $m \geq k$ define $R_m := (\otimes_{n=1}^{m} S_n) \otimes \left(\otimes_{n=m+1}^{\infty} A_n\right)$. Then $\{R_m\}_{m\geq k} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_A$ by construction and, by Corollary 8.3,

$$\|\otimes_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n - R_m\| \leq \left(\prod_{n\geq 1} \max\{\|S_n\|, 1\}\right)^2 \sum_{n\geq m+1} \|A_n - M_n\|.$$

Therefore, since $\lim_{m\to\infty} \sum_{n\geq m+1} \|A_n - M_n\| = 0$, $\otimes_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ is in the closure of $\{R_m\}_{m\geq k}$ and thus $\otimes_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n \in \mathfrak{C}$. Hence $\mathfrak{N} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}$ as desired.

Proof of 2): It suffices to show that $\mathcal{E}_A$ is in the closure of $\mathfrak{N}$ since $\mathfrak{C}$ is the closure of the algebra (and not *-algebra) generated by $\mathcal{E}_A$. Fix an operator $T := \left(\otimes_{n=1}^{k} S_n\right) \otimes \left(\otimes_{n=k+1}^{\infty} A_n\right) \in \mathcal{E}_A$. For each $m \geq k$ let $R_m := (\otimes_{n=1}^{m} S_n) \otimes \left(\otimes_{n=m+1}^{\infty} M_n\right)$. Then $\{R_m\}_{m\geq k} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}$ and, by Corollary 8.3, $\|T - R_m\|$ is at most

$$\left(\prod_{n=1}^{k} \max\{\|S_n\|, 1\}\right)^2 \left(\prod_{n\geq 1} \max\{\|M_n\|, 1\}\right) \sum_{n\geq m+1} \|A_n - M_n\|.$$

Therefore, since $\lim_{m\to\infty} \sum_{n\geq m+1} \|A_n - M_n\| = 0$, $T \in \overline{\mathfrak{N}}$. Hence $\mathcal{E}_A$ is in the closure of $\mathfrak{N}$ so $\mathfrak{N}$ is dense in $\mathfrak{C}$.

Proof of 3): Notice that every operator N of $\mathfrak{N}$ can be written in the form

$$N = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} S_k \otimes \left(\otimes_{i=n+1}^{\infty} M_i^k\right)$$

for some $n, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $S_1, \ldots, S_\ell \in \mathcal{B}\left(\otimes_{k=1}^{n} \mathcal{H}_k\right)$. Therefore, since there exists an $m_{n+1} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M_{n+1}^{m_{n+1}} = 0$, $N^{m_{n+1}} = 0$ (by the trivial computation that $\left(\otimes_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n\right)\left(\otimes_{n=1}^{\infty} R'_n\right) = \otimes_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n R'_n$). Hence N is nilpotent so every operator of $\mathfrak{N}$ is nilpotent. ☐

One interesting consequence is the following which is quite surprising since every other C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ with $Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})} \neq \{0\}$ studied in this paper has had a plethora of projections.

Corollary 8.7. *Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be the C*-algebra from Construction 8.4. Then $\sigma(T)$ is connected and contains zero for all $T \in \mathfrak{C}$. Thus $\mathfrak{C}$ is projectionless.*

Proof. The result is trivial by Theorem 8.6 and Lemma 1.3. ☐

To conclude this section we will demonstrate that there exists an AF C*-algebra that contains a C*-subalgebra $\mathfrak{D}$ such that $\mathfrak{D} = \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{D})}$. This demonstrates that the study of the closure of the nilpotent operators in an AF C*-algebra is incredibly complex. To begin we note the following trivial observation from the proof of Theorem 8.6.

Lemma 8.8. *Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be the C*-algebra from Construction 8.4, let $\mathfrak{N}$ be the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{C}$ from Theorem 8.6, and $N_1, \ldots, N_m \in \mathfrak{N}$. Then there exists an $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ (depending on $N_1, \ldots, N_m$) such that $N_{n_1} N_{n_2} \cdots N_{n_\ell} = 0$ for any selection of $n_j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$.*

Proof. This result is trivial by the structure of elements of $\mathfrak{N}$ from the third part of the proof of Theorem 8.6. ☐

Lemma 8.9. *Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be the C*-algebra from Construction 8.4 and let $\mathfrak{N}$ be the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{C}$ from Theorem 8.6. The subalgebra*

$$C_0(0,1] \odot \mathfrak{N} := \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{m} f_j \otimes N_j \;\middle|\; m \in \mathbb{N}, N_j \in \mathfrak{N}, f_j \in C_0(0,1] \right\}$$

of $C_0(0,1] \otimes_{\min} \mathfrak{C}$ is dense and consists entirely of nilpotent operators.

Proof. Clearly $C_0(0,1] \odot \mathfrak{N}$ is a dense subalgebra of $C_0(0,1] \otimes_{\min} \mathfrak{C}$ as $\mathfrak{N}$ is a dense subalgebra of $\mathfrak{C}$. Let $\sum_{j=1}^{m} f_j \otimes N_j \in C_0(0,1] \odot \mathfrak{N}$ be arbitrary. By Lemma 8.8 there exists an $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N_{n_1} N_{n_2} \cdots N_{n_\ell} = 0$ for any $n_j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. Thus $\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} f_j \otimes N_j\right)^{\ell} = 0$ so every element of $C_0(0,1] \odot \mathfrak{N}$ is nilpotent. ☐

Proposition 8.10. *There exists an AF C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ and a C*-subalgebra $\mathfrak{D}$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ such that $\mathfrak{D}$ has a dense subalgebra consisting entirely of nilpotent operators.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be the C*-algebra from Construction 8.4. Note $\mathfrak{D} := C_0(0,1]\otimes_{\min}\mathfrak{C}$ is AF-embeddable by Lemma 8.5 and by Theorem 8.3.5 of [BO]. Thus the result follows from Lemma 8.9. $\square$

9 Normal Limits of Sums of Nilpotents

In [He2], Herrero also examined $Nor(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})) \cap \overline{span(Nil(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})))}$. In particular, Herrero showed that every normal operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ was the limit of sums of two nilpotent operators. More recently, [Mar] gives an excellent overview of the results pertaining to the span of nilpotent operators with nilpotency index two. In particular, Theorem 5.2 of [Mar] shows that if $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a weakly closed, unital C*-algebra with infinite multiplicity (i.e. $\mathfrak{M} \simeq \mathfrak{M}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$) then every element of $\mathfrak{M}$ is the sum of eight nilpotent operators with nilpotency index at most two.

In this section we will investigate $Nor(\mathfrak{A})\cap\overline{span(Nil(\mathfrak{A}))}$ for the C*-algebras previously studied in this paper. In the case of unital, simple, purely infinite C*-algebras and type I and type III von Neumann algebras with separable predual, Herrero's results generalize (see Theorem 9.1, Theorem 9.4, and Theorem 9.5). Such results will clearly fail for C*-algebras with tracial states and AF C*-algebra. Finally we will demonstrate surprising result that Herrero's argument holds for type II$_\infty$ factors.

We will proceed with these results in an analogous order to that used in this paper.

Theorem 9.1. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a unital, simple, purely infinite C*-algebra. Then*

$$\mathfrak{A}_{sa} \subseteq \overline{\{M_1 + M_2 \mid M_1, M_2 \in Nil(\mathfrak{A})\}}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \overline{\{M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + M_4 \mid M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4 \in Nil(\mathfrak{A})\}}.$$

Proof. Clearly the second result follows from the first by considering real and imaginary parts. To prove the first result, we will first demonstrate that

$$I_{\mathfrak{A}} \in \overline{\{M_1 + M_2 \mid M_1, M_2 \in Nil(\mathfrak{A})\}}.$$

Note that there exists a positive operator $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $\sigma(A) = [0,1]$. Thus A and $I_{\mathfrak{A}} - A$ are limits of nilpotent operators by Theorem 2.8 (or Proposition 2.5) which completes the claim.

Let $A \in \mathfrak{A}_{sa}$ be arbitrary and fix $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\mathfrak{A}$ has real rank zero (see Theorem V.7.4 of [Da]), there exists non-zero orthogonal projections $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ and scalars $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^n$ such that $\|\sum_{k=1}^n a_k P_k - A\| < \epsilon$. Since each $P_k\mathfrak{A}P_k$ is a unital, simple, purely infinite C*-algebra with unit P_k, P_k is a limit of the sum of two nilpotent operators from $P_k\mathfrak{A}P_k$. Since the direct sum of nilpotent operators is a nilpotent operator, $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k P_k$ is a limit of sums of two nilpotent operators from $\mathfrak{A}$ and thus the result follows. $\square$

Corollary 9.2. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a unital, simple, purely infinite C^*-algebra and let $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{A})$ be such that $\lambda I - N \in \mathfrak{A}_0^{-1}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(N)$. Then*

$$N \in \overline{\{M_1 + M_2 \mid M_1, M_2 \in Nil(\mathfrak{A})\}}$$

Proof. The result follows from the same argument in Theorem 9.1 where N can be approximated by normal operators with finite spectrum by Theorem 2.6. ☐

We note that if $\mathfrak{A} := \mathcal{O}_n$ is the Cuntz algebra generated by n isometries then $\mathfrak{A}_0^{-1} = \mathfrak{A}^{-1}$ by [Cu]. Thus $Nor(\mathcal{O}_n) \subseteq \overline{\{M_1 + M_2 \mid M_1, M_2 \in Nil(\mathcal{O}_n)\}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In Corollary 5 of [He2], Herrero showed that the unit of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a limit of sums of two nilpotent operators. In particular, this result easily holds for von Neumann algebras with no finite direct summand.

Proposition 9.3. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a type I_∞, II_∞, or type III von Neumann algebra. Then there exists sequences of nilpotent operators $(M_{i,n})_{n\geq 1}$ in $\mathfrak{M}$ such that $I_{\mathfrak{M}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} M_{1,n} + M_{2,n}$.*

Proof. The result holds in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by Corollary 5 of [He2]. Since each type I_∞, II_∞, and type III contains a unital copy of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the result follows. ☐

Our next goal is to generalize Corollary 6 of [He2] to type I and type III von Neumann algebras. The arguments used in these two results are a modification of the arguments in [He2] using the theory developed in Section 3.

Theorem 9.4. *Let $\mathfrak{M} := L_\infty(X, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ where (X, μ) a Radon measure space and let $f \in Nor(\mathfrak{M})$. Then there exists two sequences $(M_n)_{n\geq 1}$ and $(M_n')_{n\geq 1}$ of nilpotent operators in $\mathfrak{M}$ such that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|f - (M_n + M_n')\| = 0.$$

Moreover, these sequences can be chosen such that $\max\{\|M_n\|, \|M_n'\|\} \leq \frac{3}{2}\|f\|$.

Proof. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and choose a representation of f such that $\sup_{x\in X}\|f(x)\| < \infty$ and $f(x)$ is normal for every $x \in X$. Since f is measureable, the range of f is separable and $x \mapsto \|f(x)\|$ is a measureable function. As such there exists $\{T_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subseteq f(X)$ and disjoint measureable subsets $\{E_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subseteq X$ such that if $h := \sum_{n\geq 1} T_n \chi_{E_n}$ (so $h \in \mathfrak{M}$) then $\|h - f\| \leq \epsilon$. Since $T_n \in f(X)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, T_n is normal and $\|T_n\| \leq \|f\|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ choose λ_n in the essential spectrum of T_n and fix $R_n \in Nor(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ such that the spectrum and essential spectrum of R_n is the closed ball of radius $\|T_n\|$ around zero. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$S_n := \frac{\lambda_n}{2} I_{\mathcal{H}} + R_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{and} \quad S_n' := \frac{\lambda_n}{2} I_{\mathcal{H}} - R_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Thus for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the spectrum and essential spectrum of S_n and S_n' are the closed unit ball of radius $\|T_n\|$ centred at $\frac{\lambda_n}{2}$.

Recall that $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})) \simeq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$L_n := \left(\frac{1}{2}T_n\right) \oplus S_n \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})) \quad \text{and} \quad L'_n := \left(\frac{1}{2}T_n\right) \oplus S'_n \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})).$$

Therefore, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $L_n, L'_n \in Nor(\mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})))$ and $\sigma(L_n)$ and $\sigma(L'_n)$ are connected and contain zero. Moreover, since $\{L_n, L'_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is bounded in norm by $\frac{3}{2}\|f\|$, Lemma 3.3 implies there exists a $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{Q_n, Q'_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subseteq Nil(\mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})))$ such that $\|Q_n\|, \|Q'_n\| \leq \frac{3}{2}\|f\|$, $\|L_n - Q_n\|, \|L'_n - Q'_n\| \leq \epsilon$, and $Q_n^q = 0 = (Q'_n)^q$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Notice

$$L_n + L'_n = T_n \oplus (S_n + S'_n) = T_n \oplus (\lambda_n I_{\mathcal{H}}).$$

Since λ_n was chosen to be in the essential spectrum of T_n, under the isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})) \simeq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ T_n and $L_n + L'_n$ are approximately unitarily equivalent. Thus the above implies there exists $\{M_n, M'_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subseteq Nil(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ such that $\|M_n\|, \|M'_n\| \leq \frac{3}{2}\|f\|$, $M_n^q = 0 = (M'_n)^q$, and $\|T_n - (M_n + M'_n)\| \leq 3\epsilon$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, if we define

$$g_1 := \sum_{n\geq 1} M_n \chi_{E_n} \quad \text{and} \quad g_2 := \sum_{n\geq 1} M'_n \chi_{E_n},$$

then $g_1, g_2 \in Nil(\mathfrak{M})$ are such that $\|g_1\|, \|g_2\| \leq \frac{3}{2}\|f\|$ and

$$\|h - (g_1 + g_2)\| \leq \sup_{n\geq 1} \|T_n - (M_n + M'_n)\| \leq 3\epsilon.$$

Thus $\|f - (g_1 + g_2)\| \leq 4\epsilon$ completing the proof. □

Theorem 9.5. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a type III von Neumann algebra with separable predual and let $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{M})$. Then there exists two sequences $(M_n)_{n\geq 1}$ and $(M'_n)_{n\geq 1}$ of nilpotent operators in $\mathfrak{M}$ such that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|N - (M_n + M'_n)\| = 0.$$

Moreover, these sequences can be chosen such that $\max\{\|Q_n\|, \|Q'_n\|\} \leq \frac{3}{2}\|N\|$ *for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Most of the arguments of this proposition are similar to those used in Theorem 3.7 and thus will be omitted. Since $\mathfrak{M}$ is a type III von Neumann algebra with separable predual, there exists a locally compact, complete, separable, metrizable, measure space (X, μ) and a collection of type III factors $(\mathfrak{M}_x)_{x\in X}$ with separable predual such that $\mathfrak{M}$ is a direct integral of $(\mathfrak{M}_x)_{x\in X}$. Thus we can write $N = \int_X^{\oplus} N_x \, d\mu(x)$ where $N_x \in \mathfrak{M}_x$ is a normal operator and $\|N_x\| \leq \|N\|$ for all $x \in X$. Without loss of generality, $\|N\| = 1$.

Let $\epsilon := \frac{1}{2^m}$ for some fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $C_{k,\ell}$ and X_Y be as in Theorem 3.7. Since the number of possible Y's is finite, it suffices to show that for each Y there exists two nilpotent operators M_Y and M'_Y in $\mathfrak{M}$ such that the supports of M_Y and M'_Y are X_Y and N is within 3ϵ of $M_Y + M'_Y$ when restricted to X_Y.

Fix a potential Y and for each $(k,\ell) \in Y$ let $z_{k,\ell} \in C_{k,\ell}$ be any element within the closed unit ball. As in Theorem 3.7 there exists equivalent, pairwise orthogonal, measureable projections $\{\{(x \mapsto P_{x,k,\ell,w})\}_{(k,\ell)\in Y}\}_{w\geq 1}$ such that

$$T_Y := \left(x \mapsto \sum_{(k,\ell)\in Y} \sum_{w\geq 1} z_{k,\ell} P_{x,k,\ell,w} \right)$$

is a measureable and decomposable operator in $\mathfrak{M}$ that has X_Y as support and is within 2ϵ of the restriction of N to X_Y.

To construct our nilpotent operators, let D_Y be the diagonal operator on a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with orthonormal basis $\{\{e_{k,\ell,w}\}_{(k,\ell)\in Y}\}_{w\geq 1}$ such that $D_Y(e_{k,\ell,w}) = z_{k,\ell} e_{k,\ell,w}$ for all $w \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(k,\ell) \in Y$. By Corollary 6 of [He2] (or by Theorem 9.4) there exists an $Q_Y^{(1)}, Q_Y^{(2)} \in Nil(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ such that $\left\| Q_Y^{(j)} \right\| \leq \frac{3}{2}\|D_Y\| \leq \frac{3}{2}\|N\|$ for $j \in \{1,2\}$ and $\left\| D_Y - (Q_Y^{(1)} + Q_Y^{(2)}) \right\| \leq \epsilon$. For each $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, $j \in \{1,2\}$, and $(k_1,\ell_1), (k_2,\ell_2) \in Y$ let

$$a^{(j)}_{(k_1,\ell_1,w_1),(k_2,\ell_2,w_2)} := \langle Q_Y^{(j)} e_{k_2,\ell_2,w_2}, e_{k_1,\ell_1,w_1}\rangle \in \mathbb{C}$$

and let $\left(x \mapsto V_{x,(k_1,\ell_1,w_1),(k_2,\ell_2,w_2)}\right) \in \mathfrak{M}$ be the partial isometry such that

$$\left(x \mapsto V_{x,(k_1,\ell_1,w_1),(k_2,\ell_2,w_2)}\right)\left(x \mapsto V_{x,(k_1,\ell_1,w_1),(k_2,\ell_2,w_2)}\right)^* = (x \mapsto P_{x,k_1,\ell_1,w_1})$$

and

$$\left(x \mapsto V_{x,(k_1,\ell_1,w_1),(k_2,\ell_2,w_2)}\right)^*\left(x \mapsto V_{x,(k_1,\ell_1,w_1),(k_2,\ell_2,w_2)}\right) = (x \mapsto P_{x,k_2,\ell_2,w_2}).$$

Finally for $j \in \{1,2\}$ let

$$M_Y^{(j)} := \left(x \mapsto \sum_{w_1,w_2\geq 1} \sum_{(k_1,\ell_1),(k_2,\ell_2)\in Y} a^{(j)}_{(k_1,\ell_1,w_1),(k_2,\ell_2,w_2)} V_{x,(k_1,\ell_1,w_1),(k_2,\ell_2,w_2)} \right)$$

which are measureable, decomposable, nilpotent operators in $\mathfrak{M}$ whose sum is within ϵ of T_Y. Thus the result follows. $\square$

In the case of a C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ with a tracial state, the following demonstrates that $Nor(\mathfrak{A}) \nsubseteq \overline{span(QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A}))}$.

Proposition 9.6. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a unital C*-algebra with a tracial state τ. Then*

$$\overline{span(QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A}))} \subseteq ker(\tau).$$

Thus $I_{\mathfrak{A}} \notin \overline{span(QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A}))}$.

Proof. This result follows trivially by Lemma 5.3. $\square$

For AF C*-algebras, the existence of faithful tracial states on finite dimensional C*-algebras enables us to extend the above result.

Lemma 9.7. *Let* $\mathfrak{A}$ *be a unital AF C*-algebra and let* $T \in \mathfrak{A}$. *Then each of the following sets is either the empty set or a singleton.*

1. $\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \lambda I_{\mathfrak{A}} + T \in \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}\right\}$.
2. $\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \lambda I_{\mathfrak{A}} + T \in \overline{span(QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A}))}\right\}$.

Proof. We shall only prove the first claim as the other follow verbatim. Suppose

$$\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \lambda I_{\mathfrak{A}} + T \in \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}\right\}$$

is non-empty. Without lose of generality $T \in \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$. Since $\mathfrak{A}$ is a unital AF C*-algebra, $\mathfrak{A} = \overline{\bigcup_{k\geq 1} \mathfrak{A}_k}$ where $\mathfrak{A}_1 \overset{\alpha_1}{\to} \mathfrak{A}_2 \overset{\alpha_2}{\to} \mathfrak{A}_3 \overset{\alpha_3}{\to} \cdots$ is a direct limit of finite dimensional C*-algebras with α_k unital and injective for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore there exists $T_k \in \mathfrak{A}_k$ such that $T = \lim_{k\to\infty} T_k$. However, since $T \in \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$, Proposition 6.1 implies that $T = \lim_{k\to\infty} M_k$ where $M_k \in Nil(\mathfrak{A}_k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|T_k - M_k\| = 0$. Thus $\lim_{k\to\infty} tr_{\mathfrak{A}_k}(T_k) = 0$ (where $tr_{\mathfrak{A}_k}$ is any faithful tracial state on $\mathfrak{A}_k$) as every nilpotent matrix has zero tracial state.

Fix $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Then $\lambda I_{\mathfrak{A}} + T = \lim_{k\to\infty} \lambda I_{\mathfrak{A}_k} + T_k$. If $\lambda I_{\mathfrak{A}} + T \in \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$ then the above argument implies that $\lim_{k\to\infty} tr_{\mathfrak{A}_k}(\lambda I_{\mathfrak{A}_k} + T_k) = 0$ which is impossible as $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\lim_{k\to\infty} tr_{\mathfrak{A}_k}(T_k) = 0$. ☐

Corollary 9.8. *Let* $\mathfrak{A}$ *be a unital AF C*-algebra. Then*

$$I_{\mathfrak{A}} \notin \overline{span(QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A}))}.$$

Proof. Note $0 \in \overline{span(QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A}))}$ and apply Lemma 9.7. ☐

We end this section by generalizing Corollary 6 of [He2] to type II_∞ factors.

Theorem 9.9. *Let* $\mathfrak{M}$ *be a type* II_∞ *factor and let* $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{M})$. *Then there exists two sequences* $(M_n)_{n\geq 1}$ *and* $(M'_n)_{n\geq 1}$ *of nilpotent operators in* $\mathfrak{M}$ *such that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|N - (M_n + M'_n)\| = 0.$$

Moreover, these sequences can be chosen such that $\|M_n\|, \|M'_n\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\|N\| + dist(0, \sigma_e(N))$ *for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *(where* $\sigma_e(N)$ *was defined in Remarks 7.5).*

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \sigma_e(N)$ be any point such that $|\lambda| = dist(0, \sigma_e(N))$ and let $R := \frac{1}{2}(\|N\| + |\lambda|)$. Let M_0 be a normal operator in $\mathfrak{M}$ such that $\sigma(M_0)$ and $\sigma_e(M_0)$ are both the closed ball of radius R around 0. Let $M_1 := \frac{\lambda}{2} I_{\mathfrak{M}} + M_0$ and $M_2 := \frac{\lambda}{2} I_{\mathfrak{M}} - M_0$. Thus $\sigma(M_1)$, $\sigma_e(M_1)$, $\sigma(M_2)$, and $\sigma_e(M_2)$ are all the closed ball of radius R around $\frac{\lambda}{2}$.

Since $\mathfrak{M}$ is a II_∞ factor, there exists a unital embedding of $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathfrak{M})$ into $\mathfrak{M}$ such that $N \oplus (\lambda I_{\mathfrak{M}})$ and N are approximately unitarily equivalent (as $\lambda \in$

$\sigma_e(N))$. Let $L_1 := \frac{1}{2}N \oplus M_1 \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathfrak{M})$ and $L_2 := \frac{1}{2}N \oplus M_2 \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathfrak{M})$. By construction it is clear that $L_1 + L_2 = L \oplus (\lambda I_{\mathfrak{M}})$,

$$\|L_1\| = \|L_2\| = R + \frac{|\lambda|}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\|N\| + dist(0, \sigma_e(N)),$$

and $\sigma(L_1) = \sigma_e(L_1)$ and $\sigma(L_2) = \sigma_e(L_2)$ are both connected sets containing zero. Since $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathfrak{M})$ is also a II_∞ factor, Corollary 7.7 implies that L_1 and L_2 are norm limits of nilpotent operators from $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathfrak{M})$. Hence there exists two sequences $(M_n)_{n\geq 1}$ and $(M'_n)_{n\geq 1}$ of nilpotent operators in $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|N \oplus (\lambda I) - (M_n + M'_n)\| = 0$$

and $\|Q_n\|, \|Q'_n\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\|N\| + dist(0, \sigma_e(N))$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $N \oplus \lambda I$ is a norm limit of sums of nilpotent operators from $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ with the desired properties. Since $N \oplus \lambda I$ and N are approximately unitarily equivalent in $\mathfrak{M}$, the result follows. ☐

10 Distance from Projections to Nilpotents

In [He2], Herrero examined the distance between an arbitrary fixed projection $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ to the nilpotent and quasinilpotent operators. In particular, Herrero showed that these distances were equal and either 0, 1, or $\frac{1}{2}$. Additional work has been done to obtain bounds for $dist(P, Nil(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})))$ where $P \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a rank one projection (see [Ma1] and [Ma2]).

In this section we will investigate the distance from an arbitrary fixed projection to the nilpotent and quasinilpotent operators for the C*-algebras previously studied in this paper. In the case of unital, simple, purely infinite C*-algebras and type I and type III von Neumann algebras with separable predual, Herrero's results generalize (see Theorem 10.2, Theorem 10.3, and Theorem 10.4). Such results are clearly more difficult to obtain for C*-algebras with faithful tracial states. Finally we will demonstrate that Herrero's result generalizes to type II_∞ factors.

We will proceed with these results in an analogous order to that used in this paper. To simplify matters, we note the following result.

Lemma 10.1. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a unital C*-algebra. Then*

$$dist(I_{\mathfrak{A}}, Nil(\mathfrak{A})) = dist(I_{\mathfrak{A}}, QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})) = 1.$$

Moreover, if $P \in \mathfrak{A}$ is a non-trivial projection then

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq dist(P, QuasNil(\mathfrak{A})) \leq dist(P, Nil(\mathfrak{A})) \leq 1.$$

Proof. The first claim follows since nilpotent and quasinilpotent operators are not invertible and the open unit ball around $I_{\mathfrak{A}}$ contains invertible operators. The second result follows trivially from Lemma 2.2. ☐

Theorem 10.2. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a unital, simple, purely infinite C^*-algebra and let $P \in \mathfrak{A}$ be a projection. Then*

1. $dist(P, Nil(\mathfrak{A})) = dist(P, QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})) = 0$ *if* $P = 0$,
2. $dist(P, Nil(\mathfrak{A})) = dist(P, QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})) = 1$ *if* $P = I_{\mathfrak{A}}$*, and*
3. $dist(P, Nil(\mathfrak{A})) = dist(P, QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})) = \frac{1}{2}$ *otherwise.*

Proof. Clearly 1) and 2) hold by Lemma 10.1. To see that 3) holds, it suffices to show

$$dist(P, Nil(\mathfrak{A})) \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

by Lemma 10.1. Since $I_{\mathfrak{A}} - P$ is a properly infinite projection, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists orthogonal projections $R_{1,k}, R_{2,k}, \ldots, R_{k,k}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{k} R_{j,k} \leq I_{\mathfrak{A}} - P$. Moreover P is equivalent to a proper subprojection $Q_{j,k}$ of every $R_{j,k}$.

Let

$$Q_k := P + \sum_{j=1}^{k} Q_{j,k}.$$

Then $P \in Q_k \mathfrak{A} Q_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus it suffices to show that

$$\inf_{k \geq 1} dist(P, Nil(Q_k \mathfrak{A} Q_k)) \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Since

$$\{P\} \cup \{Q_{j,k}\}_{j=1}^{k}$$

is a set of equivalent, pairwise orthogonal projections in $\mathfrak{A}$, we can use the partial isometries implementing the equivalence to construct a copy of $\mathcal{M}_{k+1}(\mathbb{C})$ with these projections as the orthogonal minimal projections. Moreover, by construction, inside this matrix algebra P is a rank one projection. Thus, by Theorem 2.12 of [He1], P is within $\frac{1}{2} + \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{m_k+1}\right)$ (where m_k is the integer part of $\frac{k}{2}$) of a nilpotent matrix. Thus

$$dist(P, Nil(Q_k \mathfrak{A} Q_k)) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{m_k + 1}\right)$$

so the result follows. □

Our next goal is to generalize Corollary 9 of [He2] to type I and type III von Neumann algebras. These arguments are simple generalizations of Corollary 9 of [He2] based on the techniques used in Section 3.

Theorem 10.3. *Let (X, μ) a Radon measure space. Then $\mathfrak{M} := L_\infty(X, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ is a type I_∞ von Neumann algebra and every type I_∞ von Neumann algebra with separable predual has this form. Moreover if $P \in \mathfrak{M}$ is a projection then*

1. $dist(P, Nil(\mathfrak{M})) = dist(P, QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M})) = 0$ *if* $P = 0$,

2. *$dist(P, Nil(\mathfrak{M})) = dist(P, QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M})) = 1$ if $P(x)$ has finite dimensional kernel on a set of positive μ-measure, and*

3. *$dist(P, Nil(\mathfrak{M})) = dist(P, QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M})) = \frac{1}{2}$ otherwise.*

Proof. Clearly 1) holds. To see that 2) holds, note if $M \in Nil(\mathfrak{M})$ then $M(x) \in Nil(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ for almost every $x \in X$ and if $M \in QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M})$ then $M(x) \in QuasiNil(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ for almost every $x \in X$. Therefore, if $P \in \mathfrak{M}$ is a projection where $P(x)$ has finite dimensional kernel on a set of positive μ-measure, then $P(x)$ is a projection with finite dimensional kernel on a set of positive μ-measure. Since every projection in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with finite dimensional kernel is distance one from the nilpotent and quasinilpotent operators (by Corollary 9 of [He2]), the above description of the nilpotent and quasinilpotent operators of $\mathfrak{M}$ implies $dist(P, Nil(\mathfrak{M})) = dist(P, QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M})) = 1$.

To see that 3) holds, we note it suffices to show

$$dist(P, Nil(\mathfrak{M})) \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

by Lemma 10.1. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Note we can choose a representation of P such that $P(x)$ is a projection with infinite dimensional kernel for every $x \in X$. Since P is measureable, the range of P is separable and $x \mapsto \|P(x)\|$ is a measureable function. Thus there exists $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subseteq P(X)$ and disjoint measureable subsets $\{E_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subseteq X$ such that if

$$Q := \sum_{n\geq 1} P_n \chi_{E_n}$$

(so $Q \in \mathfrak{M}$) then $\|Q - P\| \leq \epsilon$.

Since $P_n \in f(X)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, each P_n is rather the zero projection, a projection with infinite range and kernel, or a projection with finite range. Since each projection with finite range can be viewed as the direct sum of rank one projections, Corollary 9 of [He2] implies there exists a $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{M_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subseteq Nil(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ such that $\|P_n - M_n\| \leq \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon$ and $M_n^q = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let

$$M := \sum_{n\geq 1} M_n \chi_{E_n}.$$

Then $M \in \mathfrak{M}$, $\|P - M\| \leq \frac{1}{2} + 2\epsilon$, and $M^q = 0$ so $M \in Nil(\mathfrak{M})$. Hence $dist(P, Nil(\mathfrak{M})) \leq \frac{1}{2}$. ☐

Theorem 10.4. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a type III von Neumann algebra with separable predual. Then there exists a locally compact, complete, separable, metrizable, measure space (X, μ) and a collection of type III factors $(\mathfrak{M}_x)_{x\in X}$ with separable predual such that $\mathfrak{M}$ is a direct integral of $(\mathfrak{M}_x)_{x\in X}$. If $P \in \mathfrak{M}$ is a projection, we may write $P = \int_X^{\oplus} P_x \, d\mu(x)$ where $P_x \in \mathfrak{M}_x$ is a projection for all $x \in X$. Then*

1. *$dist(P, Nil(\mathfrak{M})) = dist(P, QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M})) = 0$ if $P = 0$,*

2. *$dist(P, Nil(\mathfrak{M})) = dist(P, QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M})) = 1$ if $P(x) = I_{\mathfrak{M}_x}$ on a set of positive μ-measure, and*

3. *$dist(P, Nil(\mathfrak{M})) = dist(P, QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M})) = \frac{1}{2}$ otherwise.*

Proof. Clearly 1) holds. To see that 2) holds, note if $M \in Nil(\mathfrak{M})$ then $M_x \in Nil(\mathfrak{M}_x)$ for almost every $x \in X$ and if $M \in QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M})$ then $M_x \in QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M}_x)$ for almost every $x \in X$. Therefore, if $P \in \mathfrak{M}$ is a projection where $P(x) = I_{\mathfrak{M}_x}$ on a set of positive μ-measure, then, since

$$dist(I_{\mathfrak{M}_x}, Nil(\mathfrak{M}_x)) = dist(I_{\mathfrak{M}_x}, QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M}_x)) = 1$$

for all $x \in X$, $dist(P, Nil(\mathfrak{M})) = dist(P, QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M})) = 1$.

To see that 3) holds, we note it suffices to show

$$dist(P, Nil(\mathfrak{M})) \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

by Lemma 10.1. Since $\mathfrak{M}$ is a type III von Neumann algebra, every non-zero projection of $\mathfrak{M}$ is properly infinite. Thus, as $P = (x \mapsto P_x)$ is non-zero, $(x \mapsto P_x)$ is a properly infinite projection. Thus there exists equivalent, pairwise orthogonal, measureable projections $\{(x \mapsto P_{x,w,1})\}_{w\geq 1}$ such that

$$(x \mapsto P_x) = \sum_{w\geq 1}(x \mapsto P_{x,w,1}).$$

Let $Z \in \mathfrak{M}$ be the central support of P and let $Q := (I_{\mathfrak{M}} - P)Z$. Thus P and Q have the same central support Z as $I_{\mathfrak{M}_x} - P_x \neq 0$ for all x in the support of Z. Hence P and Q are equivalent projections in $\mathfrak{M}$. Therefore, using the projections $\{(x \mapsto P_{x,w,1})\}_{w\geq 1}$ and the fact that $QP = 0$, there exists measureable projections $\{(x \mapsto P_{x,w,2})\}_{w\geq 1}$ such that

$$(x \mapsto Q_x) = \sum_{w\geq 1}(x \mapsto P_{x,w,2})$$

and

$$\{\{(x \mapsto P_{x,w,j})\}_{w\geq 1}\}_{j=1,2}$$

is a collection of equivalent, pairwise orthogonal projections.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. To construct our nilpotent operator, let D be the diagonal operator on a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with orthonormal basis $\{\{e_{w,j}\}_{w\geq 1}\}_{j,=1,2}$ such that $D(e_{w,1}) = e_{w,1}$ and $D(e_{w,2}) = 0$. By Corollary 9 of [He2] there exists an $M' \in Nil(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ such that $\|D - M'\| \leq \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon$. For each $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j_1, j_2 \in \{1, 2\}$ let

$$a_{(w_1,j_1),(w_2,j_2)} := \langle M' e_{w_2,j_2}, e_{w_1,j_1}\rangle \in \mathbb{C}$$

and let $\left(x \mapsto V_{x,(w_1,j_1),(w_2,j_2)}\right) \in \mathfrak{M}$ be the partial isometry such that

$$\left(x \mapsto V_{x,(w_1,j_1),(w_2,j_2)}\right)\left(x \mapsto V_{x,(w_1,j_1),(w_2,j_2)}\right)^* = (x \mapsto P_{x,w_1,j_1})$$

and

$$\left(x \mapsto V_{x,(w_1,j_1),(w_2,j_2)}\right)^* \left(x \mapsto V_{x,(w_1,j_1),(w_2,j_2)}\right) = (x \mapsto P_{x,w_2,j_2}).$$

Finally let

$$M := \left(x \mapsto \sum_{w_1,w_2 \geq 1} \sum_{j_1,j_2 \in \{1,2\}} a_{(w_1,j_1),(w_2,j_2)} V_{x,(w_1,j_1),(w_2,j_2)} \right)$$

which is a measureable and decomposable operator in $\mathfrak{M}$. Moreover M is also nilpotent as, for each $x \in X$, M_x is a copy of M'. Since $\|D - M'\| \leq \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon$, it is clear that $\|P - M\| \leq \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon$. ☐

Remarks 10.5. In the case of a C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ with a faithful trace τ, Corollary 5.4 clearly provides an additional restriction. Thus it is unlikely to for us to generalize the above results to type II$_1$ factors.

Finally, we prove that Corollary 9 of [He2] generalized to type II$_\infty$ factors.

Theorem 10.6. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a type II_∞ factor and let $P \in \mathfrak{M}$ be a projection. Then*

1. $dist(P, Nil(\mathfrak{M})) = dist(P, QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M})) = 0$ *if* $P = 0$,
2. $dist(P, Nil(\mathfrak{M})) = dist(P, QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M})) = 1$ *if* $I_{\mathfrak{M}} - P$ *is finite, and*
3. $dist(P, Nil(\mathfrak{M})) = dist(P, QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M})) = \frac{1}{2}$ *otherwise.*

Proof. Clearly 1) holds. To see that 2) holds, let $\mathfrak{M}_0$ be the ideal of $\mathfrak{M}$ given in Remarks 7.5 and let $q : \mathfrak{M} \to \mathfrak{M}/\mathfrak{M}_0$ be the canonical quotient map. If $I_{\mathfrak{M}} - P$ is finite then $q(P) = I_{\mathfrak{M}/\mathfrak{M}_0}$. Thus

$$1 = dist(q(P), Nil(\mathfrak{M}/\mathfrak{M}_0)) \leq dist(P, Nil(\mathfrak{M})) \leq 1$$

and

$$1 = dist(q(P), QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M}/\mathfrak{M}_0)) \leq dist(P, QuasiNil(\mathfrak{M})) \leq 1.$$

Finally, to see that 3) holds, we note it suffices to show that

$$dist(P, Nil(\mathfrak{M})) \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

by Lemma 10.1. Since $I_{\mathfrak{M}} - P$ is an infinite projection and $\mathfrak{M}$ is a type II$_\infty$ factor, there exists a collection of projections $\{Q_j\}_{j\geq 1}$ such that $\{P\} \cup \{Q_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ is a set of mutually equivalent, orthogonal projections that sum to the identity. Using the partial isometries implementing the equivalence of these projections, a copy of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ can be constructed inside $\mathfrak{M}$ such that P can be viewed as a rank one projection inside $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Thus Corollary 9 of [He2] implies $dist(P, Nil(\mathfrak{M})) \leq dist(P, Nil(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ as desired. ☐

11 Open Questions

To finish this paper we will outline some of the remaining open and interesting problems related to the material illustrated above. The first and most pressing questions are a classification of which normal elements are the norm limits of nilpotent operators in type II factors.

Question 11.1. *If $\mathfrak{A}$ is a C*-algebra with a faithful tracial state, can sufficient and necessary conditions be found for when a normal operator in $\mathfrak{A}$ is a limit of nilpotent operators? More specifically, can this be done if $\mathfrak{A}$ is a UHF algebra? A II_1 factor?*

A solution to the above question is most likely in the case $\mathfrak{A}$ is a II_1 factor. In Remarks 7.1 several restrictions were illustrated and in Remarks 7.2 it was seen that there might be a connection between the spectral distribution and this question. Theorem 7.4 determined several spectral distributions of normal operators in the closure of the nilpotent operators. It would be elegant if the conditions from Remarks 5.10 were sufficient.

Question 11.2. *In a II_∞ factor $\mathfrak{M}$, if $N \in Nor(\mathfrak{M})$ is $N \in \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{M})}$ if and only if $\sigma(N)$ and $\sigma_e(N)$ (as defined in Remarks 7.5) are connected and contain zero?*

One direction of the above question is obvious by Lemma 1.3 and significant progress towards the other direction was made in Proposition 7.8. Theorem 9.9 seems to imply that the question of the closure of the nilpotent operators in a type II_∞ factor behaves in a similar fashion to that of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. It was also discussed at the end of Section 7 how this problem is related to Conjecture 7.11.

Question 11.3. *Is it true that a normal operator N in a C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is the limit of nilpotent operators from $\mathfrak{A}$ if and only if $N \oplus 0$ is a limit of nilpotent operators from $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathfrak{A})$?*

Clearly one direction of the above question is trivial. The other direction holds in every C*-algebra examined above.

Question 11.4. *Does there exist a C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ and a normal operator $N \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $N \in \overline{QuasiNil(\mathfrak{A})}$ but $N \notin \overline{Nil(\mathfrak{A})}$?*

Again, the above question is not answered by any examples we have examined in this paper. Finally, as the results for type I_∞ and type III von Neumann algebras are in direct analog with those of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, it may be possible to answer the following question.

Question 11.5. *In a type I_∞ or type III von Neumann algebra, when is an arbitrary operator a norm limit of nilpotent operators?*

12 Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Professor Laurent Marcoux for informing me of this problem, his proof of Theorem 6.10, his idea that tracial states provide restrictions to positive limits of nilpotents, for the multiple discussions with him pertaining to this problem, and for his comments on this paper.

References

[AFHV] Apostol, C., Filahow, L., Herrero, D.A., and Voiculescu, D., *Approximation of Hilbert Space Operators*, Volume 2, Research Notes in Mathematics, Pitman Books Limited, (1984).

[AFP] Apostol, C., Foiaş, C., and Pearcy, C., *That Quasinilpotent Operators are Norm-Limits of Nilpotent Operators Revisited*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Volume 73, Number 1, (1979).

[AFV] Apostol, C., Foiaş, C., and Voiculescu, D., *On the Norm-Closure of Nilpotents, II*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl., Volume 19, 549-577, (1974).

[AS] Apostol, C., and Salinas, N., *Nilpotent Approximations and Quasinilpotent Operators*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, Volume 61, 327-337, (1975).

[AV] Apostol, C., and Voiculescu, D., *On a problem of Halmos*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., Volume 19, 283-284, (1974).

[BO] Brown, N. and Ozawa, N., *C^*-algebras and Finite Dimensional Approximations*, American Mathematical Society, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, (2008).

[Cu] Cuntz, J., *K-theory for certain C^*-algebras*, Ann. Math., Volume 131, 181-197, (1981).

[Da] Davidson, K., *C^*-Algebras by Example*, Fields Institute Monographs 6, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, (1996).

[Di] Dixmier, J., *C^*-Algebras*, North-Holland, (1982).

[DS] Dykema, K. and Skripka, A., *On single commutators in II_1-factors*, arXiv:1007.1701v3, (2011).

[Had] Hadwin, D., *Triangular Truncation and Normal Limits of Nilpotent Operators*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Volume 123, Number 6, (1995).

[Hal] Halmos, P.R., *Ten problems in Hilbert space*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, Volume 33, 887-933, (1970).

[He1] Herrero, D.A., *Approximation of Hilbert Space Operators*, Volume 1, Research Notes in Mathematics, Pitman Books Limited, (1982).

[He2] Herrero, D.A., *Normal Limits of Nilpotent Operators*, Indiana University Mathematics Journal, Volume 23, Number 12, 1097-1108, (1974).

[He3] Herrero, D.A., *Quasidiagonality, Similarity and Approximation by Nilpotent Operators*, Indiana University Mathematics Journal, Volume 30, Number 2, (1981).

[He4] Herrero, D.A., *Toward a Spectral Characterization of the set of Norm Limits of Nilpotent Operators*, Indiana University Mathematics Journal, Volume 24, Number 9, (1975).

[Ka] Kahan, W., *Every* $n \times n$ *Symmetric Matrix* Z *with Real Spectrum Satisfies* $\|Z - Z^*\| \leq \|Z + Z^*\| (\log_2(n) + 0.038)$, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Volume 39, Number 2, 235-241, (1973).

[KR] Kadison, R., and Ringrose, J., *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebra: Volume II*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, The American Mathematical Society, (1986).

[Li1] Lin, H., *Almost Commuting Self-Adjoint Matrices and Applications*, Operator Algebras and their Applications, Fields Institute Communications, Volume 13, 193-233, (1995).

[Li2] Lin, H., *Approximation by Normal Elements with Finite Spectra in* C^**-Algebras of Real Rank Zero*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, Volume 173, Number 2, 413-487, (1996).

[Ma1] MacDonald, G.W., *Distance from Idempotents to Nilpotents*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics, Volume 59, Number 3, 638-657, (2007).

[Ma2] MacDonald, G.W., *Distance from Projections to Nilpotents*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics, Volume 47, Number 4, 841-851, (1995).

[Mar] Marcoux, L.W., *Projections, commutators and Lie ideals in* C^**-algebras*, Mathematical Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy, Volume 110A, 31-55, (2010).

[Pa] Paulsen, V., *Completely Bounded Maps and Operator Algebras*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, (2002).

[Re] Read, C.J., C^**-Algebras with Dense Nilpotent Subalgebras*, Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, Volume 35, 362-366, (2003).

[Ru] Rudin, W., *Real and Complex Analysis*, 3rd edition, Mc-Graw Hill series in higher mathematics, (1987).

[Sa] Salinas, N., *On the Distance to the Set of Compact Perturbations of Nilpotent Operators*, Journal of Operator Theory, Volume 3, 179-194, (1980).

[Sh] Sherman, D., *Unitary orbits of normal operators in von Neumann algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math., Volume 605, 95132, (2007).

Department of Mathematics, UCLA, Los Angeles, California, 90095-1555, USA
E-mail address: pskoufra@math.ucla.edu